IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

MICHEAL MILLER,	§	
	§	No. 86, 2026
Respondent Below,	§	
Appellant,	§	Court Below—Court of
	§	Chancery of the State
v.	§	of Delaware
	§	
MARY MILLER PASCHAL,	§	C.A. No. 2023-0733
	§	
Petitioner Below,	§	
Appellee.	§	

Submitted: September 25, 2026
Decided: November 2, 2026

Before **TRAYNOR**, **LEGROW**, and **GRIFFITHS**, Justices.

ORDER

After considering the briefs and the record below, we find it evident that the Court of Chancery's judgment should be affirmed on the basis of the court's February 10, 2026 order approving the Magistrate in Chancery's final report issued January 29, 2026. The appellant did not file exceptions to the Magistrate's report and therefore did not preserve any issues for review on appeal.[1]

[1] *Furey v. Salaam*, 350 A.3d 644, 2025 WL 3072471 (Del. Oct. 31, 2025) (TABLE); *see also Cowan v. Furlow*, 327 A.3d 1088, 2024 WL 4362264, at *2 (Del. Sept. 30, 2024) ("This Court has long held that substantive challenges to a Magistrate's final report that are not raised in exceptions under Court of Chancery Rule 144 are not preserved for review on appeal to this Court.").

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of the Court of Chancery is AFFIRMED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Abigail M. LeGrow
Justice