IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

COREY BOWERS,

\$ No. 346, 2024

Defendant Below,
Appellant,
\$ Court Below–Superior Court
\$ of the State of Delaware

v.

\$ Cr. ID No. 1204010456 (N)

STATE OF DELAWARE,
\$ Appellee.

Submitted: September 9, 2024 Decided: September 13, 2024

Before VALIHURA, TRAYNOR, and GRIFFITHS, Justices.

<u>ORDER</u>

After consideration of the notice to show cause and the appellant's response, it appears to the Court that:

(1) On August 22, 2024, the appellant, Corey Bowers, filed a notice of appeal from a June 10, 2024 Superior Court order denying his motion for sentence review. Under Supreme Court Rule 6, a timely notice of appeal was due on or before July 10, 2024. The Senior Court Clerk therefore issued a notice directing Bowers to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed as untimely filed. In response to the notice to show cause, Bowers has submitted a notice of appeal from the Superior Court's September 2023 order denying a previous motion for sentence

modification.¹ Bowers does not address the untimeliness of the notice of appeal from the Superior Court's June 10, 2024 order.

- (2) Time is a jurisdictional requirement.² A notice of appeal must be received by the Court within the applicable time period to be effective.³ An appellant's prisoner *pro se* status does not excuse his failure to comply strictly with the jurisdictional requirements of Supreme Court Rule 6.⁴ Unless an appellant can demonstrate that his failure to file a timely notice of appeal is attributable to court-related personnel, the appeal cannot be considered.⁵
- (3) Bowers does not claim, and the record does not reflect, that his failure to file a timely notice of appeal from the Superior Court's June 10, 2024 order is attributable to court-related personnel. Consequently, this case does not fall within the exception to the general rule that mandates the timely filing of a notice of appeal, and this appeal must be dismissed.

¹ This second notice of appeal is attached to a copy of the notice to show cause and bears the appeal number assigned to this appeal—346, 2024.

² Carr v. State, 554 A.2d 778, 779 (Del.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 829 (1989).

³ Del. Supr. Ct. R. 10(a).

⁴ See Smith v. State, 47 A.3d 481-82 (Del. 2012) (dismissing a prisoner's pro se appeal, filed one day late, as untimely).

⁵ Bey v. State, 402 A.2d 362, 363 (Del. 1979).

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, under Supreme Court Rule 29(b), that the appeal be DISMISSED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Gary F. Traynor
Justice