

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

TRAVIS ELLIOTT, ¹	§
	§ No. 339, 2021
Petitioner Below,	§
Appellant,	§ Court Below—Family Court
	§ of the State of Delaware
v.	§
	§ File No. CN11-03130
DIVISION OF CHILD SUPPORT	§ Petition No. 20-21502
SERVICES/JUDY JORDAN,	§
	§
Respondent Below,	§
Appellee.	§

Submitted: October 29, 2021
Decided: November 4, 2021

Before **SEITZ**, Chief Justice; **TRAYNOR** and **MONTGOMERY-REEVES**,
Justices.

ORDER

After consideration of the notice to show cause and the appellant's response,
it appears to the Court that:

(1) On October 21, 2021, the appellant, Travis Elliott, filed a notice of
appeal from a Family Court Commissioner's order reducing his child support
obligation. On October 22, 2021, the Senior Court Clerk issued a notice, by certified
mail, directing Elliott to show cause why his appeal should not be dismissed for this

¹ The Court previously assigned pseudonyms to the parties under Supreme Court Rule 7(d).

Court's lack of jurisdiction to consider an appeal directly from a Family Court Commissioner's order.

(2) In his response to the notice to show cause, Elliott states that he intended the notice of appeal to be a cross-appeal of the request for review of the Commissioner's order filed by the appellee in the Family Court. The notice of appeal refers to both this Court and the Family Court, but Elliott addressed and mailed the notice of appeal to this Court. This Court lacks jurisdiction to consider an appeal directly from a Commissioner's decision.² Elliott's appeal must therefore be dismissed.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, under Supreme Court Rule 29(b), that this appeal is DISMISSED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Tamika R. Montgomery-Reeves
Justice

² 10 *Del. C.* § 915(d) (providing that a party may appeal a Commissioner's order to a Family Court judge); *Fam. Ct. Civ. R.* 53.1 (same).