IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

EDWARD MUJICA,	§
	§ No. 252, 2021
Defendant Below,	§
Appellant,	§
	§ Court Below–Superior Court
v.	§ of the State of Delaware
	§
STATE OF DELAWARE,	§ Cr. ID No. 1812004090 (N)
	§
Plaintiff Below,	§
Appellee.	§

Submitted: September 16, 2021 Decided: September 20, 2021

Before VAUGHN, TRAYNOR, and MONTGOMERY-REEVES, Justices.

<u>ORDER</u>

After careful consideration of the notice to show cause and the parties' responses, it appears to the Court that:

- (1) On August 9, 2021, the appellant, Edward Mujica, filed a notice of appeal from a May 3, 2021 Superior Court order denying his motion for postconviction relief. Under Rule 6(a)(iv), a timely notice of appeal should have been filed on or before June 2, 2021. The Senior Court Clerk issued a notice directing Mujica to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed as untimely filed.
- (2) In his response to the notice to show cause, Mujica states that he did not learn of the court's order until after he inquired about the status of his motion for

postconviction relief in July 2021. Mujica, who claims that he was taken into federal

custody and transferred from Howard R. Young Correctional Institution to York

County Prison in Pennsylvania, further alleges that he did not receive a copy of the

court's order until July 30, 2021. The State acknowledges that it does not appear

that Mujica received timely notice of the Superior Court's May 3, 2021 order. In

light of the evidence supporting Mujica's claim that he did not receive the Superior

Court's order in a timely manner, the State suggests that this Court remand the matter

with directions to the Superior Court to re-issue the May 2021 order so that Mujica

has the opportunity to file a timely notice of appeal.

(3) We agree that the proper course of action is to remand this matter to the

Superior Court. On remand, the Superior Court shall re-issue the May 2021 order.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that this matter is REMANDED to

the Superior Court for further action in accordance with this order. Jurisdiction is

not retained.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Gary F. Traynor

Justice

2