

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

STERLING TATE <sup>1</sup> ,	§	
	§	
Respondent Below,	§	No. 395, 2020
Appellant,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Court Below – Family Court
	§	of the State of Delaware
	§	
CAMILLE TATE,	§	
	§	
Petitioner Below,	§	File No. CN18-03247
Appellee.	§	Petition No. 20-10933
	§	
	§	

Submitted: August 11, 2021  
Decided: September 7, 2021

Before **SEITZ**, Chief Justice; **TRAYNOR** and **MONTGOMERY-REEVES**, Justices.

**ORDER**

After careful consideration of the briefs and record on appeal, we conclude that the judgment below should be affirmed on the basis of and for the reasons stated in the Family Court’s October 20, 2020 final custody order. In reaching this conclusion, we have determined that the Family Court did not impose a condition on the Appellant’s ability to file a petition for modification, but rather illustrated steps that the Appellant ought to—but need not—take before seeking visitation rights.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Tamika R. Montgomery-Reeves  
Justice

---

<sup>1</sup> The Court previously assigned pseudonyms to the parties under Supreme Court Rule 7(d).