IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

STATE OF DELAWARE,)	
)	
V.)	ID No. 1406009553
)	
MAURICE CLEMENTS,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

Date Submitted: March 31, 2021 Date Decided: June 14, 2021

ORDER

Upon consideration of the Department of Correction's (the "DOC") Application for Good Cause Shown pursuant to 11 *Del. C.* § 4217,¹ the Board of Parole's (the "Board") recommendation,² the State's response,³ statutory and decisional law, and the record in this case, **IT APPEARS THAT:**

1. On January 12, 2015, Defendant pled guilty to Possession of a Firearm by a Person Prohibited ("PFBPP"), Drug Dealing (Tier 2), and Second-Degree Conspiracy.⁴ By order dated April 17, 2015, effective June 12, 2015, the Court sentenced Defendant as follows: for PFBPP, 5 years at Level V;⁵ for Drug Dealing (Tier 2), 8 years at Level V, suspended after 4 years at Level V, for 4 years at Level

¹ D.I. 26.

² *Id*.

³ *Id*.: D.I. 27.

⁴ D.I. 7.

⁵ Defendant was declared a Habitual Offender as to this offense. D.I. 13.

IV (DOC Discretion), suspended after 6 months at Level IV (DOC Discretion), for 18 months at Level III, hold at Level III until space is available at Level IV (DOC Discretion); and for Second-Degree Conspiracy, 2 years at Level V, suspended for 1 year at Level III.⁶ Defendant has filed two motions for reduction of sentence and one motion for postconviction relief;⁷ the Court has denied all three motions.⁸

2. On October 21, 2019, pursuant to 11 *Del. C.* § 4217, the DOC filed an application with the Board recommending that the Level V portion of Defendant's sentence be modified as follows:

As to . . . IN14-07-0018 [PFBPP] 5 years Level 5; criminal action number IN14-07-2159 [Drug Dealing (Tier 2)] 8 years level 5 suspended after 2 years at level 5 and successful completion of Alternatives to Violence Program for 6 years Level 4 DOC Discretion suspended after 6 months for 18 months Level 3. Hold at Level 3 until space is available at Level 4 DOC Discretion. As to criminal action number IN14-07-0020 [Second-Degree Conspiracy] the sentence shall remain unchanged.⁹

The DOC cited "Rehabilitative Efforts" as the good-cause factor supporting its recommendation. ¹⁰ In addition, DOC risk assessment noted that Defendant is able to manage his finances; has access to food, healthcare, family support, and stable housing; has a lifestyle conducive to pro-social behavior; and has no major

⁶ *Id*.

⁷ D.I. 16, 18, 24.

⁸ D.I. 17, 23, 25.

⁹ D.I. 26.

¹⁰ *Id*.

substance-abuse concerns or mental-health issues.¹¹ At the time that the DOC produced its application, Defendant had served 5 years and 5 months of his sentence.¹²

3. On March 23, 2021, the State submitted its response in opposition to sentence modification.¹³ The State explained the factual background preceding Defendant's PFBPP, Drug Dealing (Tier 2), and Second-Degree Conspiracy convictions.¹⁴ As outlined by the State, Defendant was observed conducting a hand-to-hand sale of heroin to a confidential informant.¹⁵ When officers from the New Castle County Police Department executed a search warrant for Defendant's residence, they found a loaded handgun stashed in the water tank of a toilet, 275 bags of heroin, \$347 in cash, and drug paraphernalia.¹⁶ The State also noted that before these events occurred, Defendant had already been convicted of a number of felonies¹⁷ and charged with several others.¹⁸ The State concludes that Defendant is

¹¹ *Id*.

¹² *Id*.

¹³ *Id*.

¹⁴ *Id*.

¹⁵ *Id*.

¹⁶ *Id*.

¹⁷ *Id.* Namely, the State notes, Defendant was convicted of Possession of Ammunition by a Person Prohibited and Possession of a Deadly Weapon by a Person Prohibited in 2009, and he was convicted of Tampering with Physical Evidence in 2012—a conviction that qualified Defendant as a habitual offender. *Id.*

¹⁸ *Id.* Namely, the State charged Defendant "was charged with a Burglary Second Degree and related offenses in 2013 that the State dismissed for insufficient evidence. Moreover, he was charged with a Robbery First Degree and Assault Second Degree in 2012 that the State dismissed for lack of victim cooperation." *Id.*

a career criminal with a history of weapons and drug convictions; a number of additional charges (that the State ultimately did not pursue); six violations of probation; and a lack of amenability to lesser sanctions, which the Court noted in its most recent sentencing order.¹⁹

4. On March 30, 2021, the Board held a hearing with Defendant to determine whether to recommend a sentence modification.²⁰ Upon consideration of Defendant's testimony, the DOC's report, the State's response, and relevant documentation, the Board found as follows:

The Department of Correction has shown "good cause" for sentence modification in this case.

The Department of Correction has met the intention of 11 [Del. C. §]4217(b) by stating that the release of the offender into the community would not constitute a substantial risk to the community or himself.

The offender has demonstrated rehabilitation through appropriate program participation (GED, Head Start Home[,] and Inside/Out[).]²¹

The Board then voted unanimously to recommend the following sentence modification: "Balance of sentence suspended for six (6) months Level IV Work Release followed by Level III community supervision in accordance with SB 50. All other aspect[s] of sentence to remain the same."²²

¹⁹ *Id*.

²⁰ *Id*.

²¹ *Id*.

²² *Id.* The Board would also have the Court require Defendant to "provide a DNA sample prior to release from Level V." *Id.*

- 5. Pursuant to 11 *Del. C.* § 4217(b), the Court "may" modify a defendant's sentence "solely on the basis of an application filed by the Department of Correction for good cause shown which certifies that the release of the defendant shall not constitute a substantial risk to the community or the defendant's own self."²³ Good cause includes, among other things, "rehabilitation of the offender, serious medical illness or infirmity of the offender[,] and prison overcrowding."²⁴ The Court may exercise its discretion to deny "a recommendation of sentence modification by the Board of Parole unless such denial [is] based upon unreasonable or capricious grounds."²⁵
- 6. After careful review of the materials submitted, the Court denies the Board's recommendation. The Court acknowledges the praiseworthiness of Defendant's program participation and favorable risk-assessment report. But the Court is not convinced that "release of the defendant shall not constitute a substantial risk to the community." Defendant's criminal history is replete with drug- and weapons-related convictions spanning several years. Especially troubling is

²³ 11 *Del. C.* § 4217(b).

²⁴ 11 *Del. C.* § 4217(c).

²⁵ *Hubble v. State*, 2013 WL 2966832, at *1 (Del. June 12, 2013) (citing *Zimmerman v. State*, 628 A.2d 62, 65 (Del. 1993)).

²⁶ ID No. 0901005715 (Possession of a Deadly Weapon by a Person Prohibited); ID No. 0908023312 (Possession of Ammunition by a Person Prohibited and Possession of Marijuana); ID Nos. 1203012750, 1208003943 (Violation of Probation with an underlying charge of Tampering with Physical Evidence and Violation of Probation with an underlying charge of Resisting Arrest); ID No. 1406009553 (PFBPP, Drug Dealing (Tier 2), and Second-Degree Conspiracy).

Defendant's conviction for dealing heroin, one of Defendant's most recent

convictions. Indeed, in a past case, this Court denied the Board's recommendation

for sentence modification after determining that the defendant's drug-dealing history

rendered him a substantial risk to the community; the Supreme Court of Delaware

affirmed.²⁷ Also notable is that Defendant showed a lack of remorse and acceptance

of responsibility as to his most recent convictions—despite having committed

several offenses in the past.²⁸ Accordingly, the Court concludes that Defendant

continues to pose a "substantial risk to the community" and, therefore, does not

qualify for sentence modification pursuant to 11 Del. C. § 4217.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Department of

Correction's Application for Good Cause Shown pursuant to 11 Del. C. § 4217 is

DENIED.

Jan R. Jurden

Jan R. Jurden, President Judge

Original to Prothonotary

cc:

Maurice Clements (SBI# 00506007)

James K. McCloskey (DAG)

²⁷ Norwood v. State, 2005 WL 1653714, at *2 (Del. June 27, 2005).

²⁸ D.I. 13.

6