

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

RAMUNNO & RAMUNNO, P.A.	§	
INTERVENOR IN	§	
(C.A. No: N15C-03-060,	§	No. 366, 2018
GILES v. BOYKIN-BOYD)	§	
	§	Court Below: Superior Court
Appellant,	§	of the State of Delaware
	§	
v.	§	C.A. No. N15C-03-060
	§	
LUNDY LAW, L.L.P.	§	
	§	
Appellees.	§	

Submitted: February 6, 2019  
Decided: February 18, 2019

Before **VAUGHN, SEITZ, and TRAYNOR**, Justices.

**ORDER**

(1) Ramunno and Ramunno, P.A. (“Ramunno”) appeals from a Superior Court order awarding 15% of a fee collected by Lundy Law in a personal injury action in which Ramunno’s former client was the plaintiff.

(2) Ramunno claims that the award was “unreasonable, unfair and arbitrary and not the result of a reasonable, articulate and rational process”<sup>1</sup> because the Superior Court failed to adequately explain the basis for its opinion.

---

<sup>1</sup> Op. Br. at 3.

(3) We are satisfied that the Superior Court considered the relevant factors in making its determination that Ramunno was entitled on a *quantum meruit* basis to 15% —or \$6,000.00—of Lundy Law’s 40% contingency fee.

(4) We therefore affirm the Superior Court’s judgment on the basis of and for the reasons stated in its June 1, 2018 opinion<sup>2</sup> and June 19, 2018 order<sup>3</sup> granting in part and denying in part Ramunno’s motion for reargument.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of the Superior Court be AFFIRMED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Gary F. Traynor  
Justice

---

<sup>2</sup> *Giles v. Boykin-Brown*, 2018 WL 2464873 (Del. Super. June 1, 2018).

<sup>3</sup> *Giles v. Boykin-Brown*, N15C-03-060 (Del. Super. June 19, 2018) Dkt. No. 48.