## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

JAMES P. KALIL,

Respondent Below,
Appellant,
S Court Below—Court of Chancery
of the State of Delaware
v.

C.A. No. 11047-MZ

DONALD J. KALIL,
Petitioner Below,
Appellee.

S No. 91, 2018
Court Below—Court of Chancery
S C.A. No. 11047-MZ

Submitted: March 6, 2018 Decided: March 12, 2018 Corrected: March 13, 2018

Before STRINE, Chief Justice; VALIHURA and VAUGHN, Justices.

## <u>ORDER</u>

This 12<sup>th</sup> day of March 2018, upon consideration of the notice to show cause, the response to the notice to show cause, and the record below, it appears to the Court that:

(1) On February 21, 2018, the respondent below-appellant, James P. Kalil, filed a notice of appeal from a Court of Chancery Master's final report that recommended granting in part the petitioner's motion for summary judgment, denying James P. Kalil's motion for summary judgment, and granting in part the petitioner's request for fees. The petitioner and respondent have filed exceptions, which have been assigned to a Vice Chancellor for decision. The Senior Court Clerk

issued a notice directing the appellant to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed for this Court's lack of jurisdiction to consider an appeal directly from a Master's decision.

(2) In his response to the notice to show cause, the appellant argues that the Master incorrectly found all of his claims time-barred. He asks the Court to dismiss the appeal without prejudice if it is interlocutory. This Court lacks jurisdiction to hear an appeal directly from a Master's decision. The appellant may file a notice of appeal when the Vice Chancellor decides the exceptions to the Master's report. This appeal must be dismissed.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, under Supreme Court Rule 29(b), that this appeal is DISMISSED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Karen L. Valihura
Justice

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>*In re Webb*, 2011 WL 4838972, at \*1 (Del. Oct. 12, 2011) (citing *Johnson v. State*, 884 A.2d 475, 479) (Del. 2005)).