

CHILD PROTECTION ACCOUNTABILITY COMMISSION

C/O OFFICE OF THE CHILD ADVOCATE 900 KING STREET, SUITE 210 WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19801 TELEPHONE: (302) 255-1730 FAX: (302) 577-6831

MARY F. DUGAN, ESQUIRE

CHAIR

TANIA M. CULLEY, ESQUIRE

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

February 19, 2025

The Honorable Matthew Meyer Office of the Governor 820 N. French Street, 12th Floor Wilmington, DE 19801

RE: Reviews of Child Deaths and Near Deaths due to Abuse or Neglect

Dear Governor Meyer:

As one of its many statutory duties, the Child Protection Accountability Commission ("CPAC") is responsible for the review of child deaths and near deaths due to abuse or neglect. In 2024, CPAC screened in 59 cases (9 deaths and 50 near deaths) and screened out another 178 cases, many of which are child poisoning via drug ingestion.

As required by law, CPAC approved findings from 22 cases at its February 19, 2025, meeting.¹ Those cases are broken into two sections – cases that received a final review after completion of prosecution and cases that were reviewed for the first time.

There are 7 cases that received a final review as CPAC focused on completing final reviews of older cases this quarter. There were 2 deaths and 5 near deaths which occurred between May 2022 and November 2023. The 5 near deaths were prosecuted – the 2 deaths were unsafe sleep and were not. One case resulted in a Child Abuse 1st conviction but only two years of incarceration. The infant suffered abusive head trauma with retinal hemorrhages that has resulted in significant global developmental delays, including a feeding tube. The remaining 4 cases received no jail time. Outcomes in these cases, and two findings this quarter, are areas where CPAC and its committees continue to focus and strengthen to improve civil and criminal collaboration, presentence investigations and victim impact statements.

.

¹ 16 <u>Del. C.</u> § 932.

The fifteen remaining cases were from deaths or near deaths that occurred between April and June 2024. Of these cases, three will have no further review and were not prosecuted – these include poisoning via drug ingestion, bone fractures and failure to thrive/medical neglect. The remaining twelve cases – 3 deaths and 9 near deaths - will remain open pending prosecutorial outcomes. These cases include abusive head trauma, child torture, failure to thrive/medical neglect, unsafe sleep and poisoning via drug ingestion.

For these fifteen April through June 2024 cases, there were 28 strengths and 22 findings across system areas. Sixteen strengths and only 4 findings were noted for the Multidisciplinary Team Response. These numbers demonstrate the continued forward progress in the expertise of the frontline teams. Once again this quarter, several of these cases noted excellent or good MDT responses – in fact, 10 strengths were noted. CPAC will continue to watch these trends. For the medical response, this quarter demonstrated marked improvement with 8 strengths and 4 findings. The 2025 recognition and reporting training for medical providers is in process, and the initial content feedback has been positive. In addition to basic and refresher training, advanced trainings on drug ingestions, sentinel injuries and abusive head trauma have also been offered this session.

Four strengths and 14 findings were noted regarding the Division of Family Services ("DFS"). Most of the DFS findings were regarding caseloads (9). No trends were seen in the 5 other findings. DFS was commended in several cases this quarter in its MDT response as well as its use of collaterals.

The number, complexity and severity of child abuse cases continue. The multidisciplinary team has increased its expertise and responses to these cases which is demonstrated in the strengths. For your information we have included the strengths, findings and the details behind all of the cases presented in this letter. The CPAC Data Dashboards, as well as summaries of the CAN Findings and Drug Ingestions, are also included to provide an overall picture of the volume and complexity of child welfare cases in Delaware over time. CPAC stands ready as a partner to answer any further questions you may have.

Respectfully,

Tania M. Culley, Esquire

Samon Calley

Executive Director

Child Protection Accountability Commission

Enclosures

cc: CPAC Commissioners, General Assembly

Child Abuse and Neglect Panel

Findings Summary

INITIAL REVIEWS	
MDT Response	<u>4</u>
Interviews - Child	1
Medical Exam	2
Reporting	1
Medical	<u>4</u>
Medical Exam/ Standard of Care - Birth	1
Medical Exam/ Standard of Care - ED	1
Reporting	1
Transport	1
Risk Assessment/ Caseloads	<u>10</u>
Caseloads	9
Collaterals	1
Safety/ Use of History/ Supervisory Oversight	<u>1</u>
Safety - Completed Incorrectly/ Late	1
Unresolved Risk	3
Contacts with Family	1
Home Visiting Programs	1
Parental Risk Factors	1
Grand Total	<u>22</u>

FINAL REVIEWS	
MDT Response	<u>2</u>
Prosecution/ Pleas/ Sentence	2
Grand Total	2

TOTAL CAN PANEL FINDINGS

<u>24</u>

Child Protection Accountability Commission Child Abuse and Neglect Panel Findings Detail

INITIAL REVIEWS

	System Area	Finding	PUBLIC Rationale	Cour
Assistant align, sailing, in the based bate represent when the revolute recornel, we not an interventing upon the form the formation of the formation of the reference and production of the configuration of the Secretary and production was not encloded, we altered and presentantly recovered to dragous the possible fracture. The child dad not show for the scheldard was not encloded, we altered and greater and possible fracture. The child dad not show for the scheldard was not encloded, we altered and greater and popular approximantly two models fracture. The child dad not show for the scheldard was not possible fracture. The child dad not show for the scheldard was not possible fracture. The child dad not show for the scheldard was not greater and fracture and possible popular discipling in the flowns. **Secretary Secretary Assistant and Comment of the scheld fracture and possible fracture and possible fracture and data require the possible description and discipling in the flowns. **Secretary Secretary Assistant and Comment of the scheldard fracture and possible description and data require the possible fracture and possible description and data require the flowns. **Secretary Secretary Secretary Assistant and Comment of the scheldard fracture and data. **The Institute beyond finded an exposible fracture and possible fracture and data. **The Institute beyond finded an exposible fracture was not an interesting to account of the scheldard possible fracture. **The Institute beyond finded an exposible fracture and possible fracture and data. **The Institute beyond finded an exposible fracture and possible fracture and possible fracture. **The Institute beyond finded an exposible fracture and possible fracture and possible fracture. **The Institute beyond finded an exposible fracture and possible fracture and possible fracture. **The Institute beyond finded an exposible fracture and possible fracture and possible fracture. **The Institute beyond finded an exposible fracture and possible fracture and possib	MDT Response			of #
Medical Exams The protest pathing enables, in the bown at the treat of the rear shaft section was not enabled; probated until apprenimently into works late. The DPS casewriter did not enable to failure open the failure of the problem in the prob		Interviews - Child		1
The young finting recting in the borne as the time of the not death mission on most motically considered used grows make there. But Dist caseworked dath or marked fact of the mission of the notice that mission or most motically appointment when additional minging was needed to diagnose the prosible fractum. The child did not whore for the recheluled genoments. The DIST caseworker did not most by law enforcement of the issial referral regarding physical discipline in the borns. The DIST caseworker was not conducted on the initial referral regarding physical discipline in the borns. A data green was an or conducted on the initial referral regarding physical discipline in the borns. A data green was an or conducted on the initial referral regarding physical discipline in the borns. A data green was an or conducted on the initial referral regarding physical discipline in the borns. A data green was an or conducted on the initial referral regarding physical discipline in the borns. A data green was an or conducted on the initial referral regarding physical discipline in the borns. A data green was an or conducted on the initial referral regarding physical discipline in the borns. The polarical and indived for the referral regarding physical discipline in the borns. The polarical allowed the family to mention the polarical fluently potentially destroys an accurate accurance of interes of dedings. The polarical allowed the family to treating beginn the referral regarding physical discipline in the borns. The polarical allowed the family to treating beginn canded strating strainful the exists the fact was upon, the case was appeal, then the case was appeal, the case was appeal, then then the mather however, then to make the case was appeal, then then the mather however, when to make the case was appeal, then then the mather how			An older sibling, residing in the home but not present when the incident occurred, was not interviewed by the DFS caseworker or the law enforcement agency.	1
The DIS conservator did nor emure the family completed the failtow up specially appointed adoption of diagnose the possible factours. The child ded nor show for the scheduled appointed acceptance of the initial referral regarding physical discipline in the home. Reporting Reflection Medical Exam/ Standard of Care. Bith A datage stream was not conducted on the infear when they prong mother tested positive from account of theory. The child's temperature was not initially obtained by the treating hospital, thereby propertially descripe an account consumer of time of datash. Tamport The bestimes hospital initial on eport the substance exposed larth to the DIS Export Lane. Transport The polarizeous allowed the family to temperature was not initially obtained by the treating hospital, thereby potentially descripe an account consumer of fitness of datash. The polarizeous allowed the family to temperature was not initially obtained by the treating hospital, thereby potentially descripe an account consumer of fitness of datash. The polarizeous allowed the family to temperature was not initially obtained by the treating hospital, thereby potentially descripe an account consumer of fitness of datash. The DIS conservabor was over the investigation condocal stantancy standards the entire time the case was open. However, it does not appear that the caused an againsty imparted the DIS response to the case. The DIS conservabor was over the investigation condocal stantancy standards the entire time the case was open. However, it does not appear that the caseload languing imparted the DIS response to the case. The DIS conservabor was over the intensignation condocal stantancy standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is not actual whicher the caseload registroir properts of the case. The DIS conservabor was over the surrosignation condocal stantancy standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is not actual whicher the caseload has a negative impart on the Case. The DIS conservabor was		Medical Exam		2
Reporting Report			The young sibling residing in the home at the time of the near death incident was not medically evaluated until approximately two weeks later.	1
The Dist cases of the reside for form for profit properties physical description in the bone. Profit Profi				1
The Dist cases of the reside for form for profit properties physical description in the bone. Profit Profi		Reporting		1
Medical Excomery Southerd Care-District Adapted Southerd So		Reporting	The DFS caseworker did not notify law enforcement of the initial referral regarding physical discipline in the home.	1
Adug sees was no condected on the infinit when the young mother testing pointer for mariginar as the time of dictory. And foliage Stam/ Standard of Caser - Standard - Standard of Caser - Standard - Stand	Medical			4
Hedical Exam/ Standard of Care. ED Redical Exam/ Standard of Care. ED Red behavior beoptic fielded to expert the subtune exposed berth to the DFS Report Lane. Parameter Redical Exam/ Standard of Care. ED Red behavior beoptic fielded to expert the subtune exposed berth to the DFS Report Lane. Parameter Redical Exam/ Standard of Care. ED Red behavior beoptic fielded to expert the subtune exposed berth to the DFS Report Lane. Parameter Careloand Redical Exam/ Standard of Care. ED Red DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload stratumy standards the entire time the case was open. However, it does not appear that the caseload negatively impacted the DFS response to the case. Parameter Careload Standard standard stratumy standards the entire time the case was open. However, it does not appear that the caseload negatively impacted the DFS response to the case. Parameter Care. En DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload stratumy standards the entire time the case was open. However, it does not appear that the caseload negatively impacted the DFS response to the case. Parameter Care. En DFS caseworker was not completed by the DFS caseworker. Parameter Care. En DFS caseworker, it is under whether the caseboal appears to have negatively impacted the DFS response to the case the case was open. However, it does not appear in the caseboal appears to have negatively impacted the DFS caseworker was not completed by the DFS casewo		Medical Exam/ Standard of Care - Birth		1
The chal's temperature was not initially obtained by the meaning bospinal, thereby potentially determing an accurate assessment of time of death. Reporting Transport The birthing hospital flaided to report the substance exposed birth to the DI's Report Line. The podianticinal allowed the family to transport the child to the emergency department despite having concerns of lethage, decreased exponsiveness, and eye deviation. The podianticinal allowed the family to transport the child to the emergency department despite having concerns of lethage, decreased exponsiveness, and eye deviation. The DI's caseworker was over the investigation caseload stantory standards the centre time the case was open. However, it does not appear that the caseload negatively impacted the DI's response to the case. The DI's caseworker was over the investigation caseload stantory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it does not appear that the caseload negatively impacted the DI's response to the case. The DI's caseworker was over the investigation caseload stantory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it does not appear that the caseload negatively impacted the DI's response to the case. The DI's caseworker was over the investigation caseload stantory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload had a negative impact on the DI's response to the case. The DI's caseworker was over the investigation caseload stantory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload had a negative impact on the DI's response to the case. The DI's caseworker was over the investigation caseload stantory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload had a negative impact on the DI's response to the case. The DI's caseworker was over the investigation caseload stantory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload had a negative impact on the DI's casewo			A drug screen was not conducted on the infant when the young mother tested positive for marijuana at the time of delivery.	1
Reporting Transport Transp		Medical Exam/ Standard of Care - ED		1
Transport		·	The child's temperature was not initially obtained by the treating hospital, thereby potentially deterring an accurate assessment of time of death.	1
Transport		Reporting		1
Tansport The pediatrician allowed the family to transport the child to the emergency department despite having concerns of lethange, decreased responsiveness, and eye deviation. The DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it does not appear that the caseload negatively impacted the DFS response to the case. The DFS caseworker was over the treatment caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it does not appear that the caseload negatively impacted the DFS response to the case. The DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it does not appear that the caseload negatively impacted the DFS response to the case. The DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload appears to have negatively impacted the DFS response to the case. The DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload appears to have negatively impacted the DFS response to the case. The DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload appears to have negatively impacted the DFS response to the case. The DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload appears to have negatively impacted the DFS response to the case. The DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload appears to have negatively impacted the DFS response to the case. A history check with the out of state CFS agency, where the child disclosing placed into relative care, was not completed by the DFS caseworker.			The birthing hospital failed to report the substance exposed birth to the DFS Report Line.	1
Risk Assessment/ Caseloads Cascloads The DIS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it does not appear that the caseload negatively impacted the DIS response to the case. The DIS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it does not appear that the caseload negatively impacted the DIS response to the case. The DIS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it does not appear that the caseload negatively impacted the DIS response to the case. The DIS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload appears to have negatively impacted the DIS response to the case. The DIS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload an engatively impacted the DIS response to the case. The DIS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload an engatively impacted the DIS response to the case. The DIS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload appears to have negatively impacted the DIS response to the case. The DIS caseworker was not completed by the DIS caseworker, it is unclear whether the caseload papears to have negatively impacted the DIS response to the case. The Safety Assessment was not completed for the children were previously placed into relative care, was not completed by the DIS caseworker. The National Papears of the safety appears to the case was open. However, was not completed by the DIS caseworker attempted phone contact with the mother, however, when unsuccessful, no unannounced home visits, chool visits,		Transport		1
Caseloads The DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it does not appear that the caseload negatively impacted the DFS response to the case. The DFS caseworker was over the treatment caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open, and the caseload appears to have negatively impacted the DFS response to the case. The DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open, and the caseload appears to have negatively impacted the DFS response to the case. The DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload had a negative impact on the DFS response to the case. The DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload had a negative impact on the DFS response to the case. The DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload lang appears to have negatively impacted the DFS response to the case. The DFS caseworker was not completed following the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload appears to have negatively impacted the DFS response to the case. The DFS caseworker attempted by the DFS caseworker, it is unclear whether the caseload lang appears to have negatively impacted the DFS response to the case. The DFS caseworker attempted by the DFS caseworker attempted plone contact with the mother, however, when unsuccessful, no unannounced The DFS caseworker referred the victim to an early intervention program. The DFS caseworker referred the victim to an early intervention program. The D			The pediatrician allowed the family to transport the child to the emergency department despite having concerns of lethargy, decreased responsiveness, and eye deviation.	1
The DNS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it does not appear that the caseload negatively impacted the DPS response to the case. The DNS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it does not appear that the caseload negatively impacted the DPS response to the case. The DNS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open, and the caseload appears to have negatively impacted the DPS response to the case. The DNS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload had a negative impact on the DPS response to the case. The DNS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload had a negative impact on the DPS response to the case. The DNS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload appears to have negatively impacted the DPS response to the case. The DNS caseworker was not completed by the DPS caseworker. A history check with the out of state CPS agency, where the children were previously placed into relative care, was not completed by the DPS caseworker. Safety - Completed Incorrectly Late Safety - Completed Incorrec	Risk Assessment/ Caseloads			
The DFS caseworker was over the treatment caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open, and the caseload appears to have negatively impacted the DFS response to the case. The DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open, and the caseload appears to have negatively impacted the DFS response to the case. The DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload had a negative impact on the DFS response to the case. The DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload had a negative impact on the DFS response to the case. The DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload had a negative impact on the DFS response to the case. The DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload had a negative impact on the DFS response to the case. The DFS caseworker was not completed by the DFS caseworker. A history check with the out of state CFS agency, where the childen were previously placed into relative case, was not completed by the DFS caseworker. A safety assessment was not completed following the initial referral despite the child disclosing physical discipline in the home. As a result, there was no child safety agreement implemented. The A safety assessment was not completed following the initial referral despite the child disclosing physical discipline in the home. As a result, there was no child safety agreement implemented. The A safety assessment was not completed following the initial referral despite the child disclosing physical discipline in the home. As a result, there was no child safety agreement implemented. The A safety asses		Caseloads		
The DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open, and the caseload appears to have negatively impacted the DFS response to the case. The DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload had a negative impact on the DFS response to the case. In the DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload had a negative impact on the DFS response to the case. In the DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload had a negative impact on the DFS response to the case. In the DFS caseworker was not completed by the DFS caseworker. In the DFS caseworker was not completed by the DFS caseworker. In the DFS caseworker was not completed by the DFS caseworker. In the DFS caseworker was not completed by the DFS caseworker was not completed by the DFS caseworker. The DFS caseworker attempted phone contact with the mother, however, when unsuccessful, no unannounced home visits, school visits, or letters were attempted to meet the assigned priority response time. In the DFS caseworker referred the victim to an early intervention program. In the DFS caseworker with the mother, where the incident occurred, prior to the children returning to the mother's care. However, a seen investigation was completed by the law enforcement agency.			The DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it does not appear that the caseload negatively impacted the DFS response to the case.	6
The DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload had a negative impact on the DFS response to the case. Collaterals			The DFS caseworker was over the treatment caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it does not appear that the caseload negatively impacted the DFS response to the case.	1
Collaterals A history check with the out of state CPS agency, where the children were previously placed into relative care, was not completed by the DFS caseworker. Safety - Completed Incorrectly Late A safety assessment was not completed following the initial referral despite the child disclosing physical discipline in the home. As a result, there was no child safety agreement implemented. 1 A safety assessment was not completed following the initial referral despite the child disclosing physical discipline in the home. As a result, there was no child safety agreement implemented. 1 A safety assessment was not completed following the initial referral despite the child disclosing physical discipline in the home. As a result, there was no child safety agreement implemented. 1 A safety assessment was not completed following the initial referral despite the child disclosing physical discipline in the home. As a result, there was no child safety agreement implemented. 2 A safety assessment wis not completed by the DFS caseworker. The DFS caseworker attempted phone contact with the mother, however, when unsuccessful, no unannounced whome visits, school visits, or letters were attempted to meet the assigned priority response time. 1 There was no documentation that the DFS caseworker referred the victim to an early intervention program. 1 There was no documentation that the DFS caseworker conducted a home assessment with the mother, where the incident occurred, prior to the children returning to the mother's care. However, a scene investigation was completed by the law enforcement agency.			The DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open, and the caseload appears to have negatively impacted the DFS response to the case.	1
A history check with the out of state CPS agency, where the children were previously placed into relative care, was not completed by the DFS caseworker. Safety - Completed Incorrectly Late Safety - Completed Incorrectly Late A safety assessment was not completed following the initial referral despite the child disclosing physical discipline in the home. As a result, there was no child safety agreement implemented. Unresolved Risk Contacts with Family When the initial case was received, timely contact with the family was not made by the DFS caseworker. The DFS caseworker attempted phone contact with the mother, however, when unsuccessful, no unannounced home visits, school visits, or letters were attempted to meet the assigned priority response time. Home Visiting Programs There was no documentation that the DFS caseworker referred the victim to an early intervention program. 1 There was no documentation that the DFS caseworker conducted a home assessment with the mother, where the incident occurred, prior to the children returning to the mother's care. However, a scene investigation was completed by the law enforcement agency.			The DFS caseworker was over the investigation caseload statutory standards the entire time the case was open. However, it is unclear whether the caseload had a negative impact on the DFS response to the case.	1
A history check with the out of state CPS agency, where the children were previously placed into relative care, was not completed by the DFS caseworker. Safety - Completed Incorrectly Late Safety - Completed Incorrectly Late A safety assessment was not completed following the initial referral despite the child disclosing physical discipline in the home. As a result, there was no child safety agreement implemented. Unresolved Risk Contacts with Family When the initial case was received, timely contact with the family was not made by the DFS caseworker. The DFS caseworker attempted phone contact with the mother, however, when unsuccessful, no unannounced home visits, school visits, or letters were attempted to meet the assigned priority response time. Home Visiting Programs There was no documentation that the DFS caseworker referred the victim to an early intervention program. 1 There was no documentation that the DFS caseworker conducted a home assessment with the mother, where the incident occurred, prior to the children returning to the mother's care. However, a scene investigation was completed by the law enforcement agency.		Collaterale		1
Safety - Completed Incorrectly/ Late A safety assessment was not completed following the initial referral despite the child disclosing physical discipline in the home. As a result, there was no child safety agreement implemented. Unresolved Risk Contacts with Family When the initial case was received, timely contact with the family was not made by the DFS caseworker. The DFS caseworker attempted phone contact with the mother; however, when unsuccessful, no unannounced home visits, school visits, or letters were attempted to meet the assigned priority response time. Home Visiting Programs There was no documentation that the DFS caseworker referred the victim to an early intervention program. 1 There was no documentation that the DFS caseworker conducted a home assessment with the mother, where the incident occurred, prior to the children returning to the mother's care. However, a scene investigation was completed by the law enforcement agency.		Conaterais	A history check with the out of state CPS agency, where the children were previously placed into relative care, was not completed by the DFS caseworker.	1
Safety - Completed Incorrectly/ Late A safety assessment was not completed following the initial referral despite the child disclosing physical discipline in the home. As a result, there was no child safety agreement implemented. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Safety/ Use of History/ Supervisory Oversight			1
Unresolved Risk Contacts with Family When the initial case was received, timely contact with the family was not made by the DFS caseworker. The DFS caseworker attempted phone contact with the mother; however, when unsuccessful, no unannounced home visits, school visits, or letters were attempted to meet the assigned priority response time. Home Visiting Programs There was no documentation that the DFS caseworker referred the victim to an early intervention program. 1 There was no documentation that the DFS caseworker conducted a home assessment with the mother, where the incident occurred, prior to the children returning to the mother's care. However, a scene investigation was completed by the law enforcement agency.		Safety - Completed Incorrectly/ Late		1
Contacts with Family When the initial case was received, timely contact with the family was not made by the DFS caseworker. The DFS caseworker attempted phone contact with the mother; however, when unsuccessful, no unannounced home visits, school visits, or letters were attempted to meet the assigned priority response time. Home Visiting Programs There was no documentation that the DFS caseworker referred the victim to an early intervention program. 1 Parental Risk Factors There was no documentation that the DFS caseworker conducted a home assessment with the mother, where the incident occurred, prior to the children returning to the mother's care. However, a scene investigation was completed by the law enforcement agency.			A safety assessment was not completed following the initial referral despite the child disclosing physical discipline in the home. As a result, there was no child safety agreement implemented.	1
When the initial case was received, timely contact with the family was not made by the DFS caseworker. The DFS caseworker attempted phone contact with the mother; however, when unsuccessful, no unannounced home visits, school visits, or letters were attempted to meet the assigned priority response time. Home Visiting Programs	Unresolved Risk			<u>3</u>
Home Visiting Programs There was no documentation that the DFS caseworker referred the victim to an early intervention program. Parental Risk Factors There was no documentation that the DFS caseworker conducted a home assessment with the mother, where the incident occurred, prior to the children returning to the mother's care. However, a scene investigation was completed by the law enforcement agency.		Contacts with Family		1
There was no documentation that the DFS caseworker referred the victim to an early intervention program. Parental Risk Factors There was no documentation that the DFS caseworker conducted a home assessment with the mother, where the incident occurred, prior to the children returning to the mother's care. However, a scene investigation was completed by the law enforcement agency.				1
There was no documentation that the DFS caseworker referred the victim to an early intervention program. Parental Risk Factors There was no documentation that the DFS caseworker conducted a home assessment with the mother, where the incident occurred, prior to the children returning to the mother's care. However, a scene investigation was completed by the law enforcement agency.		Home Visiting Programs		1
There was no documentation that the DFS caseworker conducted a home assessment with the mother, where the incident occurred, prior to the children returning to the mother's care. However, a scene investigation was completed by the law enforcement agency.			There was no documentation that the DFS caseworker referred the victim to an early intervention program.	1
There was no documentation that the DFS caseworker conducted a home assessment with the mother, where the incident occurred, prior to the children returning to the mother's care. However, a scene investigation was 1 completed by the law enforcement agency.		Parental Risk Factors		1
and Total <u>22</u>				ıs 1
	Grand Total			<u>22</u>

FINAL REVIEWS

System Area	Finding	PUBLIC Rationale	Count
			of#
MDT Response			<u>2</u>
	Prosecution/ Pleas/ Sentence		2
		MDT best practices were not followed by DOJ regarding communication between the criminal and civil attorneys impacting child victim involvement during criminal case resolution.	2
Grand Total			2

Child Protection Accountability Commission Child Abuse and Neglect Panel Strengths Summary

<u>INITIAL REVIEWS</u>	
	Current
MDT Response	16
Communication	1
General - Civil Investigation	1
General - Criminal Investigation	1
General - Criminal/Civil Investigation	10
Medical Exam	3
Medical	8
Documentation	1
Home Visiting Programs	1
Medical Exam/Standard of Care - Forensics	1
Medical Exam/Standard of Care - Specialists	1
Reporting	4
Risk Assessment/ Caseloads	2
Collaterals	2
Safety/ Use of History/ Supervisory Oversight	2
Completed Correctly/On Time	2
Grand Total	<u>28</u>

FINAL REVIEWS	
	Current
Legal	1
DFS Contact with DOJ	1
Grand Total	<u>1</u>

TOTAL CAN PANEL STRENGTHS

<u>29</u>

Child Abuse and Neglect Panel Strengths Summary

INITIAL REVIEWS

System Area	Strength	Public Rationale	Count
	0 42 42 5 42		of#
MDT Response			<u>16</u>
•	Commur	ication	1
		There was good communication between the two involved law enforcement agencies.	1
	General -	Civil Investigation	1
		During the prior investigation, the DFS caseworker provided the family with a lock box and	1
		educated the family on the proper storage of substances and medications.	
	General -	Criminal Investigation	1
		The law enforcement agency ensured evidentiary blood draws were completed for the child,	1
		the parents, and other adult household members.	
	General -	Criminal/Civil Investigation	10
		There was a good MDT response to the near death incident, which included a joint response	1
		to the home, joint interviews, where applicable, consideration of a forensic interview for the	
		young sibling, and consistent communication and collaboration among the MDT members.	
		There was a good MDT response to the near death incident, which included a joint response	1
		by law enforcement and the Institutional Abuse (IA) worker, forensic interviews for the	
		other children present at the in-home daycare facility, and consistent communication and	
		collaboration among the MDT members, to include the Office of Child Care Licensing	
		(OCCL).	
		There was good collaboration and consistent communication between the law enforcement	1
		agency and the DFS caseworker.	
		There was a good MDT response to the near death incident, which included joint responses	1
		to the hospital and to the parents' homes, joint interviews, where applicable, and consistent	
		communication and collaboration among the MDT members.	

Child Abuse and Neglect Panel

Strengths Summary

There was a good MDT response to the death incident, which included joint responses to	1
the initial treating hospital and to the home, joint interviews, where applicable, and	
consistent communication and collaboration among the MDT members.	
There was a good MDT response to the near death incident, which included joint responses	1
to the hospital and the parents' residences, joint interviews, where applicable, continual	
follow up with the mother and medical providers when conflicting information was learned,	
and consistent communication and collaboration among the MDT members.	
There was a good MDT response to the near death incident, which included a joint response	1
to the hospital, joint interviews, where applicable, a scene investigation, forensic interviews	
of the siblings, evidentiary blood draws, and consistent communication and collaboration among the MDT members.	
There was a good MDT response to the near death incident, which included joint responses	1
to the hospital and to the home, joint interviews, where applicable, a scene investigation,	
evidentiary blood draws, and consistent communication and collaboration among the MDT members.	
There was a good MDT response to the near death incident, which included joint responses	1
to the hospital and to the family's homes, joint interviews, a scene investigation, evidentiary	
blood draws, and consistent communication and collaboration among the MDT members.	
There was a good MDT response to the death incident, which included joint interviews at	1
police headquarters, forensic interviews of the child's half-siblings, a scene investigation, and	
consistent communication and collaboration among the MDT members.	
Medical Exam	3
A medical evaluation was completed for the sibling residing in the home. The evaluation	1
included a urine drug screen.	

Child Abuse and Neglect Panel

Strengths Summary

	Medical evaluations were completed for the siblings, which included urine drug screens that	1
	resulted positive for a controlled substance. This incidental finding supports the practice of	
	completing drug screens for siblings despite being asymptomatic at the time of the	
	evaluation.	
	Medical evaluations were completed for the siblings residing in the home. The evaluations	1
	included urine drug screens.	
Medical		<u>8</u>
	Documentation	1
	There was good documentation within the medical record of continued, thorough DFS	1
	involvement with the family over a number of years.	
	Home Visiting Programs	1
	There was great effort by the evidence-based home visiting program to re-engage with the	1
	young mother, which included multiple phone calls and letters mailed to the home, and to	
	have the mother enrolled in an alternate educational program. Additionally, the home	
	visiting nurse reported substance use in the home to the DFS Report Line.	
	Medical Exam/ Standard of Care - Forensics	1
	A thorough forensic nurse examination was completed, which identified multiple areas of	1
		1
	bruising and cutaneous injuries, and raised concern for rhabdomyolysis, which was reported	1
	bruising and cutaneous injuries, and raised concern for rhabdomyolysis, which was reported	1
	bruising and cutaneous injuries, and raised concern for rhabdomyolysis, which was reported to the emergency department physician.	1
	bruising and cutaneous injuries, and raised concern for rhabdomyolysis, which was reported to the emergency department physician. Medical Exam/Standard of Care - Specialists	1 1
	bruising and cutaneous injuries, and raised concern for rhabdomyolysis, which was reported to the emergency department physician. Medical Exam/Standard of Care - Specialists There was great coordination by the medical team, to include the hospital social worker,	1 1
	bruising and cutaneous injuries, and raised concern for rhabdomyolysis, which was reported to the emergency department physician. Medical Exam/Standard of Care - Specialists There was great coordination by the medical team, to include the hospital social worker, Patient Outreach, Case Management, and the primary care physician to assist the mother	1 1
	bruising and cutaneous injuries, and raised concern for rhabdomyolysis, which was reported to the emergency department physician. Medical Exam/Standard of Care - Specialists There was great coordination by the medical team, to include the hospital social worker, Patient Outreach, Case Management, and the primary care physician to assist the mother with scheduling of appointments, financial assistance, and transportation assistance for the	1 1
	bruising and cutaneous injuries, and raised concern for rhabdomyolysis, which was reported to the emergency department physician. Medical Exam/Standard of Care - Specialists There was great coordination by the medical team, to include the hospital social worker, Patient Outreach, Case Management, and the primary care physician to assist the mother with scheduling of appointments, financial assistance, and transportation assistance for the child's medical appointments.	1 1 1
	bruising and cutaneous injuries, and raised concern for rhabdomyolysis, which was reported to the emergency department physician. Medical Exam/Standard of Care - Specialists There was great coordination by the medical team, to include the hospital social worker, Patient Outreach, Case Management, and the primary care physician to assist the mother with scheduling of appointments, financial assistance, and transportation assistance for the child's medical appointments. Reporting	1 1
	bruising and cutaneous injuries, and raised concern for rhabdomyolysis, which was reported to the emergency department physician. Medical Exam/Standard of Care - Specialists There was great coordination by the medical team, to include the hospital social worker, Patient Outreach, Case Management, and the primary care physician to assist the mother with scheduling of appointments, financial assistance, and transportation assistance for the child's medical appointments. Reporting The CARE Team made an immediate report to the DFS Report Line when the siblings'	1 1
	bruising and cutaneous injuries, and raised concern for rhabdomyolysis, which was reported to the emergency department physician. Medical Exam/Standard of Care - Specialists There was great coordination by the medical team, to include the hospital social worker, Patient Outreach, Case Management, and the primary care physician to assist the mother with scheduling of appointments, financial assistance, and transportation assistance for the child's medical appointments. Reporting	1 1

Child Abuse and Neglect Panel

Strengths Summary

		The primary care physician made an immediate report to the DFS Report Line with	1
		concerns for neglect. The primary care physician also contacted the emergency department	
		to which the child was referred for further medical evaluation.	
		The birthing hospital made multiple reports to the DFS Report Line regarding the young	1
		mother, her living situation, her numerous sexually transmitted infections, and the positive	
		drug screens in a minor.	
Risk Assessment	•		<u>2</u>
	Collatera		2
		The DFS caseworker consulted with an out of state child protective services agency in the	1
		state the family was known to previously reside.	
		The DFS caseworker consulted with an out of state child protective services agency in the	1
		state the family was known to previously reside.	
Safety/ Use of H	istory/ Super	rvisory Oversight	<u>2</u>
	Complete	ed Correctly/On Time	2
		The DFS caseworker immediately implemented a child safety agreement while the child was	1
		hospitalized. The agreement included the siblings residing in the home. There was consistent	
		review and modification, when necessary, of the safety agreement.	
		The DFS caseworker immediately implemented a child safety agreement for the siblings	1
		residing in the home. There was consistent review and modification, when necessary, of the	
		safety agreement.	
Grand Total			<u>28</u>
		FINAL REVIEWS	
System Area	Strength	Public Rationale	Count of
,	8		#
Legal			1
208112	DFS Con	tact with DOJ	1
	210 0011	The DFS treatment worker consulted with DOJ regarding the family's lack of cooperation an	1
Grand Total		The 210 treatment worker constituted with 201 regarding the farm, which of cooperation and	1
		TOTAL CAN PANEL STRENGTHS	<u>29</u>
Office of the Child Ad	vocate		_