

Delaware Judiciary

Annual Report

One Branch One Vision



2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Message from the State Court Administrator.....	1
Message from the Chief Justice.....	2
Legislation.....	5
The Year in Pictures	6
Introduction to the Delaware Courts.....	7
Fiscal Overview.....	9
Interpreter Services	16
Supreme Court.....	17
Court of Chancery.....	21
Superior Court.....	25
Family Court.....	31
Court of Common Pleas.....	37
Justice of the Peace Court.....	42
Judicial Branch Employees Recognized.....	49

On the Cover:

Clockwise from the top:

The Delaware Supreme Court building in Dover

The Leonard L. Williams Justice Center in Wilmington

An architect's rendering of the renovated Custom House in Wilmington

The Kent County Courthouse in Dover

An architect's rendering of the new Family Court building in Georgetown

The Sussex County Courthouse in Georgetown

MESSAGE FROM THE STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR

Annual Report 2023

At the close of every year, the Delaware Judicial Branch takes time to reflect on the past year and assess the progress that we have made, as individual courts and collectively as a branch, in fulfilling our mission to provide access to fair and effective justice for all. Our Annual Report gives us the opportunity to share important information with our partners in the other branches of government and with the public. Each Presiding Judge's message details the key accomplishments of their respective courts in 2023 and identifies areas for future growth and improvement.

This past year, the Administrative Office of the Courts, which includes the Judicial Information Center, Office of State Court Collections Enforcement, and the Law Libraries, advanced our mission of service to the courts through several milestone achievements, including:

- Unifying all Judicial Branch employees under a single, consistent system for personnel administration and updating our Judicial Branch Personnel Rules for clarity and fairness;
- Graduating our first cohort of branch employees who participated in a yearlong supervisor training program;
- Upgrading technology solutions in nearly half of all Judicial Branch courtrooms and completing the discovery phase of our statewide electronic filing and case management project for all of our courts;
- Coordinating multiple building and renovation projects throughout the state to ensure our courts have safe and adequate facilities;
- Advancing initiatives arising from our 2022 Bench and Bar Diversity Project, including
 - Offering enhanced diversity training for judges and staff;
 - Hosting more than 20 summer interns as part of the Department of State's Future Leaders internship initiative;
 - Supporting the renewal of the mission of the Delaware Law-Related Education Center and its expanded civics program offerings for K-12 students;
 - Strengthening Judicial Branch partnerships with local universities and law schools, as well as the local Leadership Council for Legal Diversity, to help build more accessible pathways to careers in the law;
- Working with partners from the other branches of government as part of the Criminal Legal System Imposed Debt Study Group created by House Bill 244 to evaluate future opportunities to help eliminate the disparate impact on marginalized communities caused by criminal justice fees and fines;
- Collaborating with the Department of Health and Social Services to host a two-day Behavioral Health and Criminal Justice Integration Summit that brought together more than 500 legal, law enforcement, and social service professionals and community members to address behavioral health issues in the criminal justice system; and
- With assistance from the National Center for State Courts and the State Justice Institute, leading the first Judicial Branch-wide strategic campaign to assess the state of the Delaware courts in the view of our 1400 employees and to align our courts' collective strategic vision for the future.

I hope this Report is a useful resource in helping to explain and demystify the work of the Delaware Judicial Branch. For more information, please visit the branch's website at <https://courts.delaware.gov>, where you will always find the most up-to-date information about the Delaware Courts.



Gayle P. Lafferty
State Court Administrator



MESSAGE FROM CHIEF JUSTICE COLLINS J. SEITZ, JR.

One Branch One Vision



I am pleased to report that in 2023 we finally emerged from the pandemic and resumed normal operations. With some exceptions, case backlogs have been cleared out, court operations are back at full strength and strict health safety measures have been eased.

We have emerged stronger from the pandemic through better use of technology, smarter ways to work and streamlined procedures. Equally important, we have felt a sense of optimism now that we can once again focus on ways to enhance, improve and modernize the way the Delaware Judiciary does business.

In 2023, we put into action many of the recommendations from our Strategic Plan to improve diversity in the Delaware Bench and Bar. We are making sure that the plan does not simply sit on a shelf and gather dust or exist only as a lofty goal in the distant future.

At the same time and along with that effort, we undertook an in-depth review of how we do business. Working with the State Justice Institute and the National Center for State Courts, we surveyed our 1,400 employees and sought their input, guidance, and suggestions for improvement. The effort pro-

duced the *2023 Delaware Judicial Branch Strategic Campaign* or “One Branch, One Vision.” It focuses on six key areas for the Judicial Branch:

- Our workforce,
- Technology,
- Facilities and security,
- Access to justice,
- Public trust and communication, and
- Strengthening the administration of justice.



Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz, Jr. meets with Master of Public Administration students from the University of Delaware in October 2023.

MESSAGE FROM THE CHIEF JUSTICE

We describe this effort as a “strategic campaign” rather than a strategic plan. It will serve as a guide to keep us focused over the next three to five years.

As a part of this campaign, new mission and vision statements for the Judicial Branch were adopted. Our updated mission is “to provide access to fair and effective justice in a safe and transparent environment through a diverse, inclusive and dedicated workforce.” Our vision is “equal justice through transparency, integrity, innovation and Judicial Branch independence.”

Many of the items in the report involve measures that are already underway, but the report helps better articulate the Judicial Branch’s vision, focus those efforts and ensure we join together as one branch of government.

Starting with our first focus area – our workforce – the Administrative Office of the Courts worked with the General Assembly and the Executive Branch to unify our court employees under the Judicial Branch’s rules for personnel administration. Our unified system of personnel administration will give our managers greater flexibility to build and maintain our workforce. Our long-term goal is to work with our partners in the other branches of government to reaffirm the Judicial Branch’s need, as a separate, coequal branch of government, to establish a Judicial Branch compensation and classification system — unique to the courts — which is necessary for the courts to attract and retain a quality workforce. We will also enhance employee training, to give our employees more opportunities to advance in their jobs and to help us promote and keep our best people from leaving to pursue careers elsewhere.



The July 2023 Administration of the Delaware Bar Exam at the University of Delaware. Starting in 2024, the exam will be administered twice a year.

On technology, our Judicial Information Center (JIC) is responsible for Project CASCADE to bring our court filing, docketing and case management system into the 21st century. Once it is complete, all parts of the Delaware Judicial Branch will be on a unified, modern electronic case management system. The JIC has also been working on a multi-year project to modernize all our courthouses and courtrooms by improving Wi-Fi access, updating computers and improving document-sharing technology.

For facilities and security, we will undertake a comprehensive security survey of our facilities. This will help us identify areas to enhance the safety of our buildings. Once this is complete, we will also, over the next five years, look at undertaking a new space assessment study so we can maximize the space we have – including the two new Family Court facilities that

will be coming on line in the next two years – and plan for future growth of the courts.

Construction is underway on the new courthouses for Family Court in Georgetown and Dover. The court is also starting a renovation and expansion of the historic Custom House property in Wilmington directly across from the Leonard L. Williams Justice Center. When complete, the Custom House will provide a new home for the New Castle County chambers of the Supreme Court, the Arms of the Court, and the Administrative Office of the Courts. It will also house a Community Resource Center to serve City of Wilmington residents.

Continued on next page

MESSAGE FROM THE CHIEF JUSTICE

Our fourth focus area, access to justice, revives our Access to Justice Commission and related efforts. The strategic campaign directs that our access to justice efforts examine physical barriers in our court facilities and services (like internet access) that may need to be upgraded to meet the needs of those with disabilities, physical limitations or limited English proficiency.



Graduates, their managers, and others in key leadership positions at the August 17, 2023 Judicial Branch Supervisor Series Certificate program graduation ceremony. Pictured from left to right; Jacquetta Livingston (JP Court), Ashley Grantham (JP Court), Lisa Robinson (Superior), Tammy Schofield (Family), Liz Petrick (JP Court), Jennifer Allen (Family), Stephanie Parker (JP Court), Lisa Dolph (Supreme), Allison Gallo (AOC), Chief Magistrate Alan Davis (JP Court), Jessica West (Court of Common Pleas), Toni Orem (Chancery), Levette Williams (Chancery), Tammy Burton (Chancery), Valerie Predeoux (JP Court), Faith Brown (Family), Lisa Vanish (Superior), Jennifer Brokus (Superior), Lois Sampson (Court of Common Pleas), Stephanie Fitzgerald (Court of Common Pleas), President Judge Jan Jurden (Superior), Courtney Mackey (Court of Common Pleas), Gayle Lafferty (AOC), Jennifer Figueira (AOC), Lori Moritz (Family), Chief Judge Michael Newell (Family), Angela Willin (JP Court) and Michelle Hoffman (Family). Pictured in the front: Nicole Gosa (Family) and Chief Elvira Cruz (JP Court).

The Justice of the Peace Court held two Safe Surrender events in 2023, which encouraged people with outstanding warrants and capiases to resolve their matters with the court system. The events proved an unqualified success as 449 people attended the Wilmington Safe Surrender on May 12, 2023 – clearing

more than 875 capiases and warrants. In Dover, 137 attended the event, clearing an additional 203 capiases and warrants on November 3, 2023.

Several other access to justice changes took place stemming from the Delaware Bench and Bar Diversity Project. First, we made significant changes to the bar exam by reevaluating subject matter areas, reducing costs, and offering the exam twice a year. The Delaware Law Related Education Center (DELREC) has been revamped from the ground up to expand its mission. Long known for the annual Delaware High School Mock Trial competition, DELREC now has a new, diverse Board of Trustees, who will work with the Delaware Judiciary to teach civics education in the schools and encourage students to pursue legal careers.



Architect's rendering of the renovation and expansion of the historic Custom House in front of the Leonard L. Williams Justice Center in Wilmington.

Image courtesy TEVEBAUGH Architecture

The Delaware Judiciary also offered paid summer internships to high school students in 2023 by working in partnership with the Future Leaders Internship Initiative (FLii), a Department of State initiative operating with Leading Youth Through Empowerment (LYTE). Twenty Delaware students, all rising juniors or seniors,

MESSAGE FROM THE CHIEF JUSTICE

worked in jobs across all of the Delaware Courts and in the Administrative Office of the Courts. Students were able to observe court proceedings, interact with judicial officers and meet with practicing attorneys.

Finally, there is strengthening the administration of justice. This focus area calls for creating performance measures to help gauge the effectiveness of our ongoing projects and establishing the Judicial Branch identity as a separate and independent branch of government.

The Judicial Branch also will continue to work collaboratively with the executive and legislative branches. For example, in 2023 the Delaware Judiciary partnered with the Department of Health and Social Services to host the first ever Delaware Behavioral Health Criminal Justice



More than 500 legal, law enforcement and social service professionals and community members attended the first-ever Delaware Behavioral Health and Criminal Justice Integration Summit at the Chase Center on the Riverfront, organized in partnership between the Delaware Judiciary and the Delaware Department of Health and Social Services.

Integration Summit. The two-day conference at the Chase Center on the Riverfront brought together more than 500 legal, law enforcement and social service professionals along with members of the community to address the challenges posed by those with mental and behavioral health issues in the courts.

We achieved a great deal in 2023 and are rightfully proud of our work. But there is always much more to be done. With our One Branch, One Vision initiative, we look forward to the coming year and even greater achievements. ♦

LEGISLATION

The Judiciary’s legislative team brings together representatives of the Courts and the Administrative Office of the Courts to enhance the effectiveness of the Judicial Branch’s relationship with the General Assembly by serving as the main Judicial Branch contact for legislative matters and by monitoring and analyzing legislation for impact on the Judiciary. The following legislation supported by the Judiciary Branch was passed during FY 2023 by the 152nd General Assembly:

BILL NUMBER	DESCRIPTION
SB 133	Allows those with a VAC payment plan to be able to process matters such as license or tag renewal at DMV. The previous law required a VAC ticket to be paid in full before DMV can process.
SB 179	This Act replaces references to “Masters” in the Court of Chancery with “Magistrate.” The title of “master” carries negative connotations due to the use of the term as the title of owners of slaves. Although the title “Master in Chancery” has no link to that historical context, the Court of Chancery is sensitive to the title’s negative connotations and so has proposed a new title, “Magistrate in Chancery.”


THE YEAR IN PICTURES



ABOVE (Left to right) Justice Gary F. Traynor, Justice Karen L. Valihura, Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz, Jr., Justice Abigail M. LeGrow and Justice N. Christopher Griffiths participate in the groundbreaking for the expansion and renovation of the historic Custom House on Dec. 18, 2023.



ABOVE Gov. John Carney speaks in Courtroom 7E at the Leonard L. Williams Justice Center before the Dec. 18, 2023 groundbreaking at the historic Custom House.



ABOVE Court staff at the check-in table for the Safe Surrender event at the Kent County Courthouse on Nov. 3, 2023.



AT CENTER The waiting area in Jury Services during the May 12, 2023 Safe Surrender event at the Leonard L. Williams Justice Center.

BELOW Attorneys address the media crowd outside the Leonard L. Williams Justice Center following the announcement of a settlement in the Dominion Voting v. Fox News/Fox Corp. civil suit in Superior Court on April 18, 2023.



INTRODUCTION TO THE DELAWARE COURT SYSTEM



The Delaware Judicial Branch consists of the Supreme Court, the Court of Chancery, the Superior Court, the Family Court, the Court of Common Pleas, the Justice of the Peace Court, the Administrative Office of the Courts, and related non-judicial agencies.

In terms of interrelationships among the courts, the Delaware Court system is similar to a pyramid. The Justice of the Peace Court represents the base of the pyramid and the Supreme Court, the apex of the pyramid. As a litigant goes upward through the court system pyramid, the legal issues generally become more complex, and thus more costly to litigate. For this reason, cases decided as close as possible to the entry level of the court system generally result in a cost savings in resources used to handle the matters and in a speedier resolution of the issues at hand.

The Justice of the Peace Court, the initial entry into the court system for most citizens, has jurisdiction over civil cases in which the disputed amount does not exceed \$25,000. In criminal cases, the Justice of the Peace Court hears certain misdemeanors and most motor vehicle cases (excluding felonies), and the Justices of the Peace may act as committing magistrates for all crimes. Appeals from the Justice of the Peace Court may be taken to the Court of Common Pleas.

The Court of Common Pleas has jurisdiction in civil cases where the amount in controversy, exclusive of interest, does not exceed \$75,000. In criminal cases, the Court of Common Pleas has jurisdiction over all misdemeanors except certain drug-related offenses. It also handles motor vehicle offenses (excluding felonies). In addition, the Court of Common Pleas is responsible for preliminary hearings in felony cases. Appeals may be taken to the Superior Court.

The Family Court has exclusive jurisdiction over virtually all family and juvenile matters. All civil appeals, including those relating to juvenile delinquency, go directly to the Supreme Court. Criminal cases may be appealed to the Superior Court.

The Superior Court, Delaware's court of general jurisdiction, has original jurisdiction over criminal and civil cases except equity cases. The Superior Court has

exclusive jurisdiction over felonies and almost all drug offenses. In civil matters, the court's authority to award damages is not subject to a monetary maximum. The Superior Court also serves as an intermediate appellate court by hearing appeals on the record from the Court of Common Pleas, the Family Court (in criminal cases), and various state agencies, boards and commissions. Appeals from the Superior Court may be taken on the record to the Supreme Court.

The Court of Chancery has jurisdiction to hear all matters relating to equity. The litigation in this tribunal deals largely with corporate issues, trusts, estates, other fiduciary matters, disputes involving the purchase of land, and questions of title to real estate as well as commercial and contractual matters. The Court of Chancery has a national reputation in the business community and is responsible for developing case law in Delaware on corporate matters. Appeals from the Court of Chancery may be taken on the record to the Supreme Court.

The Supreme Court hears direct appeals from the Court of Chancery, the Superior Court, and the Family Court. As administrative head of the courts, the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, in consultation with the other Justices, sets administrative policy for the entire Judicial Branch.

The Administrative Office of the Courts, including the Judicial Information Center, the law libraries and the Office of State Court Collections Enforcement, provides services to the Delaware Judiciary that are consistent with the statewide policies and goals for judicial administration and support operations established by the Supreme Court.

Other state non-judicial agencies associated with the Delaware Judicial Branch include: Office of the Public Guardian, Office of the Child Advocate, Maternal and Child Death Review Commission, and the Delaware Nursing Home Residents Quality Assurance Commission. ♦

THE DELAWARE COURT SYSTEM

Supreme Court

- Court of last resort.
- Final appellate jurisdiction for criminal cases in which the sentence exceeds certain minimums, and in civil cases as to final judgments, certain orders of the Court of Chancery, the Superior Court, and the Family Court and court designated boards.
- Issuer of certain writs.
- Jurisdiction over questions of law certified to the Supreme Court by other Delaware courts, the U.S. Supreme Court, a U.S. Court of Appeals, a U.S. District Court, a U.S. Bankruptcy Court, the U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission, the highest appellate court of any state, and any other entities as detailed in Article 4, Section 11(8) of the Delaware Constitution.

Court of Chancery

- Equity court.
- Hears all cases in equity (typically corporate, trust, fiduciary matters, land sale, real estate, and commercial/contractual matters).

Superior Court

- Law court.
- Original statewide jurisdiction over all criminal and civil cases (except equity cases).
- Exclusive jurisdiction over felonies and drug offenses (except marijuana possession and most felonies/drug offenses involving minors).
- Involuntary commitments to Delaware Psychiatric Center.
- Intermediate appellate court from the Court of Common Pleas, Family Court (adult criminal), and various state agencies, boards, and commissions.

Family Court

- Extensive legal and equitable jurisdiction over all domestic relations cases, including divorce, custody, guardianships, adoptions, visitation, child and spousal support, and property division.
- Jurisdiction over intrafamily misdemeanors, misdemeanor crimes against children, and civil domestic violence protective orders.
- Jurisdiction over all juvenile criminal offenses except certain serious offenses.

Court of Common Pleas

- Statewide jurisdiction in civil actions that do not exceed \$75,000.
- All criminal misdemeanors (except certain drug-related offenses) and motor vehicle offenses (except felonies).
- Responsible for preliminary hearings.
- Appeals from the Justice of the Peace Court, Alderman's Courts, and the Division of Motor Vehicles.

Justice of the Peace Court

- Statewide jurisdiction over civil cases that do not exceed \$25,000.
- Jurisdiction over certain misdemeanors and most motor vehicle cases (except felonies).
- May act as committing magistrate for all crimes.
- Jurisdiction over landlord/tenant (possession) cases.



FISCAL OVERVIEW

SUMMARY OF JUDICIAL BUDGETS - FISCAL YEARS 2022-2024

GENERAL FUNDS

	FY 2022 Enacted Budget	FY 2023 Enacted Budget	FY 2024 Enacted Budget
Supreme Court	\$ 3,543,300	\$ 3,978,200	\$ 4,253,600
Court of Chancery	4,066,800	4,597,200	4,850,900
Superior Court	26,885,500	27,873,200	30,040,900
Family Court	21,621,200	22,284,400	23,276,600
Court of Common Pleas	11,157,800	11,389,400	12,069,800
Justice of the Peace Court	19,997,100	20,858,500	21,996,000
Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC)	4,139,100	4,482,400	4,777,100
AOC Custodial Pass-Through Funds*	3,035,100	3,035,100	3,135,100
Office of State Court Collections Enforcement (OSCCE)	626,200	633,800	670,300
Information Technology	4,031,600	4,059,800	4,426,500
Law Libraries	461,100	463,000	476,400
Office of the Public Guardian	812,200	820,900	858,000
Office of the Child Advocate	2,617,400	3,168,800	3,513,300
Maternal and Child Death Review Commission**	459,300	463,700	485,700
Delaware Nursing Home Residents Quality Assurance Commission	89,000	89,900	93,800
TOTAL	\$ 103,542,700	\$ 108,198,300	\$ 114,924,000

* These programs are included in AOC funding but are shown separately because they are pass-through funds. They include the Superior Court Appointed Attorney Program, Court Interpreters, Victim Offender Mediation Program, Elder Law Program, Law Related Education and other funds. Technology Maintenance consists of pass-through funding to other state departments and vendors for equipment and services Branch-wide.

** The Child Death Review Commission was renamed the Maternal and Child Death Review Commission with the passage of House Bill 340 of the 151st General Assembly.

Source: Administrative Office of the Courts

FISCAL OVERVIEW


GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS - FISCAL YEAR 2023

The Judiciary's FY 2023 appropriation is 2.12% of the State's General Fund Budget.


Public Education	\$1,832,407,800	35.93%
Health and Social Services	1,361,270,600	26.69%
Department of Correction	376,141,800	7.38%
Higher Education	266,806,000	5.23%
Children, Youth and Their Families	204,743,000	4.02%
Safety and Homeland Security	164,358,300	3.22%
Judicial Branch	108,198,300	2.12%
All Other	785,786,400	15.41%
TOTAL	\$ 5,099,712,200	100.00%*

* Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding

The Judiciary's FY 2023 appropriation is 2.12% of the State GF Budget.



The FY 2023 Judicial Branch budget breakdown: 90% Personnel, 1.0% Pass-Throughs to Other Entities, 1.8% Technology Maintenance, and 7.2% Other.



Source: Administrative Office of the Courts


FISCAL OVERVIEW

JUDICIAL BRANCH APPROPRIATIONS - FISCAL YEAR 2023

General Funds by Court or Unit

Supreme Court	\$3,978,200	3.68%
Court of Chancery	4,597,200	4.25%
Superior Court	27,873,200	25.76%
Family Court	22,284,400	20.60%
Court of Common Pleas	11,389,400	10.53%
Justice of the Peace	20,858,500	19.28%
Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC)	4,482,400	4.14%
AOC Pass Through Funds*	3,035,100	2.81%
Office of State Court Collections	633,800	0.59%
Information Technology	4,059,800	3.75%
Law Libraries	463,000	0.43%
Other**	4,543,300	4.20%
TOTAL	\$108,198,300	100%***

JUDICIAL APPROPRIATIONS - FISCAL YEAR 2023



* AOC Pass-Through Funds consist of Court Appointed Attorneys/Involuntary Commitment, Court Interpreters, Victim Offender Mediation Program, Elder Law Program, and Technology Maintenance.

** Other: Office of the Public Guardian; Office of the Child Advocate; Child Death Review Commission; and Delaware Nursing Home Residents Quality Assurance Commission.

*** Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

Source: Administrative Office of the Courts

FISCAL OVERVIEW

COURT GENERATED REVENUE* - FISCAL YEAR 2023

SUBMITTED TO THE STATE GENERAL FUND

	Fees & Costs	Fines	Interest***	Miscellaneous	Total
Supreme Court	\$ 97,922	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 97,922
Court of Chancery	1,091,629	-	-	-	1,091,629
Superior Court	2,899,193	113,310	24,539	61,359	3,098,401
Family Court	79,878	10,933	-	9,406	100,217
Court of Common Pleas	1,027,288	248,636	-	13,450	1,289,374
Justice of the Peace Court	1,561,416	1,614,086	-	51,574	3,227,076
OSCCE collecting DOC Fees**	739,586	-	-	-	739,586
State Total	\$ 7,496,912	\$ 1,986,965	\$ 24,539	\$ 135,789	\$ 9,644,205

SUBMITTED TO COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES

	Fees & Costs	Fines	Interest	Miscellaneous	Total
Superior Court	\$ 63,391	\$ 21,782	-	-	\$ 85,173
Family Court	-	1,061	-	-	1,061
Court of Common Pleas	30	146,135	-	-	146,165
Justice of the Peace Court	-	2,045,959	-	-	2,045,959
Office of State Court Collections Enforcement	-	-	-	-	-
Counties and Municipalities Total	\$ 63,421	\$ 2,214,937	-	-	\$ 2,278,358

GRAND TOTAL **\$ 7,560,333** **\$ 4,201,902** **\$ 24,539** **\$ 135,789** **\$ 11,922,563**

* Figures represent only revenue actually received, not the total amount of fines and costs assessed. Figures include funds generated for the FY 2023 Fee Increase Spending Plan. Court Generated Revenue for this table does not include most criminal Statutory Assessments collected on behalf of other agencies, such as the Fund to Combat Violent Crime or the Videophone Fund.

** Office of State Court Collections Enforcement (OSCCE) collected probationary fees on behalf of the Department of Correction (DOC). The assessment of DOC Supervision Fees was eliminated in April 2023 with the implementation of HB 244 with HA 2 of the 151st General Assembly.

*** From bail bond deposits.

Source: Administrative Office of the Courts

FISCAL OVERVIEW

STATUTORY ASSESSMENTS COLLECTED BY THE COURTS FY 2023	
Criminal Only	
Court Security Fee*	\$ 1,227,943
Drug Diversion Fee	8,988
Drug Ed/Rehab SARTEP	19,803
DELJIS	122,319
Fund to Combat Violent Crime (State)	886,047
Fund to Combat Violent Crime (Local)	884,981
Senior Trust Fund	9,523
Voluntary Ambulance Fund	1,175,848
Victim Compensation	1,244,472
Victim Rights Fund	22,346
Video Phone Fund	109,439
Transportation Trust Fund	2,000,988
Civil Red Light Fee	21,248
Statutory transfers from Court Fees to DELDOT:	
Inspection & Maintenance	2,618,566
DMVT	23,982

*Revenue from Court Security totals \$1,660,251 when civil collections are added to the criminal collections.

Percent of Judicial Branch Budget from Criminal Fees and Fines (Judicial Branch GF and Appropriated Special Funds Combined)	
Judicial GF FY23 Budget	\$108,198,300
Judicial ASF FY23 Budget	\$13,325,900
Total GF + ASF FY 23 Budget	\$121,524,200
Authority from Criminal Fees and Fines **	\$1,449,854
Criminal Fees and Fines as a Percent of the total Judicial Branch Budget	1.19%

** Criminal Only. Includes only those collections which are retained by the Judicial Branch.

What are (ASF) Appropriated Special Funds? ASF Funds are derived from fees that are collected and designated for a specific purpose to support program functions. Most court collections listed in the top table support programs that are outside of the Judicial Branch.

Note: There are additional ASF revenues which are indirectly associated with Fees and Fines, primarily civil. Specifically, there is an ASF line called “Judicial Services” for \$2,050,000 in FY 2023, appropriated in connection with certain fees. Nearly all of those fees were civil, although there is one fee which can be either civil or criminal.

In Section 1 and Section 41 of the FY 2024 Budget Act (HB 195 of the 152nd General Assembly), ASF funds are also appropriated to the Court of Chancery and the Court of Common Pleas for their operating budgets from “the fees and fines that it collects”; no specific fees or fines are earmarked. The funds are appropriated through the budget process. The Court of Chancery’s fines and fees are civil; the Court of Common Pleas collects both civil and criminal fines and fees. The Court of Common Pleas ASF appropriation related to this epilogue totals \$259,100 ASF, which is additional to the ASF authority listed in the table above.

Source: Administrative Office of the Courts, report CJJCM49P and the FY 2023 State Budget

FISCAL OVERVIEW

Total Criminal Collections by Type and Recipient – FY 2023

Recipient of Collections	Fines	Fees & Costs	Assessments	Restitution	Total Criminal	Recipient Break-down
Courts	\$ —	\$ 221,911	\$ 1,227,943	\$ —	\$ 1,449,854	7.7%
Counties/ Municipalities including Statutory Funds	\$ 2,236,589	\$ 63,946	\$ 2,060,829	\$ —	\$ 4,361,364	23.1%
State Statutory Funds	\$ 4,448	\$ 2,603,239	\$ 4,445,173	\$ —	\$ 7,052,860	37.4%
General Fund/State	\$ 2,383,292	\$ 981,926	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 3,365,218	17.8%
Victims	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 2,638,266	\$ 2,638,266	14.0%
Total	\$ 4,624,329	\$ 3,871,022	\$ 7,733,945	\$ 2,638,266	\$ 18,867,562	100.0%

The above represents the criminal court collections from Delaware’s criminal courts: Superior Court, Family Court, Court of Common Pleas, the Justice of the Peace Court, and the Office of State Court Collections. Much of the above is not defined as court revenue, but rather as court collections which is technically revenue for the State or other entities. Definitions below are not intended to be all-inclusive.

Fines: Includes state fines, town fines, criminal fines, DUI fines, and Forensic Fines.

Fees and Costs: Includes court costs, extradition, kiosks, PD fees, drug diversion fees, and capiases. The PD Fee was eliminated April 2023, the effective date of HB 244 w/ HA 2 of the 151st General Assembly. Some Fees and Costs collections - late fees and e-payment fees for the Voluntary Assessment Center - are no longer assessed with the implementation of HB 244 w/ HA 2.

Assessments: Includes Court Security, Senior Trust Fund, Victim Rights, Victim Compensation, Drug Ed/Rehab Substance Abuse (SARTEP), Civil Penalty/Drug Diversion Fees, Transportation Trust Fund Surcharge, Voluntary Ambulance Fund, the DELJIS Fund, the Videophone Fund, and the Fund to Combat Violent Crime.

Revenues for the Volunteer Ambulance Company Fund and the Fund to Combat Violent Crimes (Local) are pass-throughs from State agencies or commissions to municipalities or local entities. They are reported under “Counties/Municipalities including Statutory Funds”.

Source: Administrative Office of the Courts using report CJJCM49P

FISCAL OVERVIEW

COURT GENERATED REVENUE - FISCAL YEAR 2023			
RESTITUTION - FISCAL YEAR 2023			
	Assessed	Collected	Disbursed
Superior Court	\$4,410,383	\$1,048,289	\$887,350
Family Court	71,448	94,694	92,183
Court of Common Pleas	1,081,568	498,291	464,341
Justice of the Peace Court	7,272	18,791	17,446
Office of State Court Collections Enforcement* (OSCCE)	-	978,200	823,819
RESTITUTION TOTAL	\$ 5,570,671	\$ 2,638,265	\$ 2,285,139
COLLECTIONS ASSISTANCE BY THE OFFICE OF STATE COURT COLLECTIONS ENFORCEMENT ON BEHALF OF COURTS AND AGENCIES**			
			Total
Superior Court			\$ 972,980
Family Court			183,400
Court of Common Pleas			124,987
Justice of the Peace Court			86,695
OSCCE Receivables			970,239
Department of Correction			739,856
Court of Chancery			2,122
Child Support			139,341
OSCCE ASSISTANCE TOTAL			\$ 3,219,620

* Figures represent efforts made by OSCCE on behalf of the Delaware Judicial Branch.

** These figures, in large part, overlap with amount collected by the various courts and agencies, and should not be considered additional (excluding OSCCE receivables). Performance results have been impacted by the implementation of HB 244. HB 244 eliminated DOC supervision fees and non-restitution Family Court fees for children from being assessed.

Source: Administrative Office of the Courts




INTERPRETER SERVICES



Delaware Court Interpreter Program




The Delaware Judiciary provides language access to those with limited English proficiency and members of the Deaf and hard of hearing community. The Court Interpreter Program is managed by the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) under the direction of the Court Interpreter Advisory Board. Below are several charts detailing the demand for interpretation services.



SUPREME COURT



CHIEF JUSTICE COLLINS J. SEITZ, JR.




SUPREME COURT

Fiscal Year 2023 was a time of change for the Delaware Supreme Court. Two Justices departed and two arrived to get the Court back to full strength. It was also a time to move past the COVID-19 pandemic and refocus our attention on Judicial Branch reforms.

The Delaware Supreme Court received 491 appeals and resolved 453 cases by opinion, order, or dismissal. The Court decided appeals an average of 33 days from the date of submission to the date of final decision. In 99% of the appeals decided in FY 23, the Court met the Delaware Judiciary

standard for deciding cases within 90 days of submission. The Court resolved 85% of all cases within 290 days of filing of a notice of appeal and resolved 95% of all cases within a one-year timeframe. The Court held 72 oral arguments, and 120 cases were submitted for decision on the briefs.

Following a comprehensive review and recommendation from the Board of Bar Examiners, the Supreme Court approved significant changes to the Delaware Bar Exam. They include changing the passing score from a scale of 145 to 143, reducing the number of essays from eight to four, and limiting the number of essay topics from 14 areas of law to 10. In perhaps the biggest change, the exam will be offered twice a year starting in February 2024. These changes were not made to make the Bar Exam “easier” or lower our already high standards for bar admission, but to increase access to the exam, improve the admissions process, and make Delaware more competitive in the nationwide market



Continued on next page


SUPREME COURT

for legal talent. In December, 137 new attorneys were admitted to the Delaware bar during a ceremony held at the Schwartz Center in Dover.

The Supreme Court and Administrative Office of the Courts partnered with the Leading Youth Through Empowerment (“LYTE”) Scholars Program, and the Delaware Department of State Future Leaders Internship Initiative (“FLii”) to offer paid summer internships to rising high school juniors and seniors throughout the state. LYTE provides a wide range of academic programming to under-represented students. Twenty students were assigned to the courts for the six-week summer program, which offered educational and work experience in the judicial system. The students attended oral arguments before the Supreme

Court in Dover and attended proceedings in other courts. Additionally, they visited with judicial officers and legal practitioners and received valuable guidance on careers in the legal field.

Justice James T. Vaughn, Jr. retired after 25 years of distinguished service to the citizens of Delaware. Justice Vaughn’s judicial career began in 1998 when he was appointed to Superior Court as Resident Judge for Kent County. He became President Judge of Superior Court in 2004 and was appointed to the Supreme Court in



2014.

Justice Tamika Montgomery-Reeves stepped down to accept her nomination to the U.S. Third Circuit Court of Appeals. Justice Montgomery-Reeves



(Left to right) Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz, Jr. and Justices Abigail M. LeGrow and N. Christopher Griffiths after the confirmation vote for both justices in the Delaware Senate.



Attorney Richard K. Herrmann (left) receiving the Andrew D. Christie Pro Bono Publico Award from Justice Karen L. Valihura at the DSBA Law Day Luncheon on May 2, 2023.

Photo courtesy Delaware State Bar Assn.

SUPREME COURT

served as Vice Chancellor in the Court of Chancery from 2015 until her appointment to the Supreme Court in 2019. She was the first African American to serve on either court. We are grateful to the former Justices for their service.

Following these departures, the Court welcomed two new members – Justice Abigail LeGrow and Justice N. Christopher Griffiths. Justice LeGrow was a Magistrate in Chancery starting in 2011. In 2016 she was appointed to the Superior Court. Before his appointment, Justice Griffiths was a partner at Connolly Gallagher and served on several Supreme Court committees. Justice Griffiths is the second African American and first African American man to serve on the Delaware Supreme Court.


This year the Court was honored to present the Andrew D. Christie Pro Bono Publico award to Richard

K. Herrmann in appreciation for his outstanding service to the Court over the course of his career. Mr. Herrmann served on several Supreme Court committees over the years, including the Commission on Law and Technology. Before his retirement he was instrumental in helping the Judicial Branch navigate virtual meetings and court proceedings in the early days of the COVID-19 pandemic. Mr. Herrmann also created, and for many years performed in, the Delaware Judiciary’s annual holiday reenactment of “Miracle on 34th Street” at our county courthouses for elementary school students, a tradition that is now in its 20th year.


Finally, a groundbreaking ceremony was held for the renovation and expansion of the Custom House, located in front of the Leonard L. Williams Justice Center in Wilmington. This project will revitalize a long-vacant historic property in downtown Wilmington and become the permanent home of the Supreme Court in New Castle County and Administrative Office of the Courts in New Castle County. The Court will continue to hear oral arguments in the historic Dover Courthouse.

With our building projects underway and continued support from the General Assembly, the Supreme Court is well-positioned to meet the challenges of an expanding docket and to address the needs of Delawareans now and into the future. ♦

NUMBER OF SUPREME COURT FILINGS BY COURT OF ORIGATION FISCAL YEAR 2023



SUPREME COURT FILINGS & DISPOSITIONS BY FISCAL YEAR



Continued on next page

SUPREME COURT



Supreme Court Justices

Standing left to right:

Justice Abigail M. LeGrow
Justice Karen L. Valihura
Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz, Jr.
Justice Gary F. Traynor
Justice N. Christopher Griffiths

COURT OF CHANCERY



CHANCELLOR KATHALEEN ST. J. MCCORMICK

American actress and comedian Amy Poehler once advised: “Find a group of people who challenge and inspire you, spend a lot of time with them, and it will change your life.” As the court’s annual statistics reflect, its judges and staff spent an awful lot of time together this past year, as demands on the court continue to increase in volume and complexity. To share some of the highlights, case filings increased 10% and requests for expedition increased 8% over last year. The court held over 1,400 hearings and issued nearly 400

opinions excluding orders and bench rulings. Reflecting on the court’s productivity in light of Poehler’s wisdom, we can safely say that 2023 was life changing.

Our court’s accomplishments are only made possible by our inspired judges and staff. We begin each year with the unrealistic but genuinely held hope that no judge or employee will leave our ranks. And this past year we marked the retirement of our court’s leaders and glue — Administrator and Register in Chancery, Susan Judge, on March 31, 2023, after fifteen years with the Judicial Branch. Judge held the position of Court Administrator and Register in Chancery for the past three years and prior to that served as Superior Court Administrator.


True to Judge’s way, she made sure to leave us in good hands, and on April 1, 2023, we welcomed Tamara Burton the new Court Administrator and Register in Chancery. Burton joined the Court of Chancery after

Continued on next page



COURT OF CHANCERY

**Court of Chancery Filings by Type,
FY2023**



Previous FYs reactivated cases were included in this count. Beginning FY23 they were excluded. FY23 saw 52 reactivated cases.

COURT OF CHANCERY

serving as Deputy Court Administrator for the Delaware Court of Common Pleas for the past ten years. Before joining the Judicial Branch, Burton spent over 20 years with the Department of Labor.

The court also welcomed Aaron Goldstein as the court's first-ever Chief Staff Attorney. Prior to joining the court, Goldstein served in various positions in the Delaware Department of Justice for 19 years. Most recently, he served as the Deputy Attorney General who provided advice to and representation for: the Department of State, the Secretary of State, the Division of Corporations, the Division of Small Business and the Delaware Economic Development Authority, among others. He also served as the Chief Legal Ethics Officer to the Delaware Department of Justice. Prior to that, Goldstein served as State Solicitor under two Attorneys Generals and also as Chief Deputy to Attorney General Matt Denn.

In July, the court celebrated the retirement of one of our real-time court reporters, Jeanne Cahill, who worked for the State for over 30 years and the last 15 years with the Court of Chancery. Cahill contributed tremendously to the court's positive culture and will be sorely missed.

With Cahill's retirement the court gained Lorena Hartnett who is an excellent stenographer and came to the court from the freelance world. Hartnett is no stranger to the Court of Chancery. Having covered hearings for the court for years, she is already famil-

iar with much of what we do making her a fantastic addition to the team.

Burton, Goldstein, and Hartnett have all hit the ground running and are immensely valuable to our organization.

A major highlight of 2023 was the reappointment of The Honorable Sam Glasscock III, who was sworn in for his second term as Vice Chancellor of the Delaware Court of Chancery on Friday, June 30, 2023, at the Court of Chancery Courthouse in Georgetown by Magistrate in Chancery Bonnie W. David. Vice Chancellor Glasscock was first appointed as Vice Chancellor by Gov. Jack Markell, taking his oath for

his initial term on June 29, 2011. Prior to accepting his appointment as Vice Chancellor, he served the Court of Chancery as a Magistrate in Chancery (formally called Master) from 1999 to 2011. Before joining the Court of Chancery, Vice Chancellor

Glasscock worked as a judicial clerk, as an associate at Prickett, Jones, Elliott, Kristol & Schnee in the litigation section, as a Superior Court special discovery master, and as a Deputy Attorney General in the Appeals Unit of the Department of Justice.

Another highlight of the year was the court's first-ever Legal History Art Contest held in partnership with the Court of Chancery Historical Society. Open to students in grades 7-12, the contest marked the 70th anniversary of the U.S. Supreme Court's historic *Brown v. Board of Education* ruling desegregating public schools and the Delaware Court of Chancery's key role in that decision. Students were invited to



Winning art works in the Court of Chancery's Legal History Art Contest: At left is First Place winner "Our Segregated Worlds" by Cassius Hearne of Archmere Academy and at right is Second Place winner "Right to Ride" by Sophia Silverman of Tower Hill School.

COURT OF CHANCERY


submit artwork representing Delaware’s role in the historic case and its impact along with how the artwork addressed the theme. The winning students and their families were invited to attend a reception and award ceremony.

In addition, for the third year, the court welcomed high school interns participating in the Delaware Department of State’s Future Leaders Internship Initiative (“FLi”). We have again enjoyed the company and enthusiasm of our high school interns as well as the collaboration with our sister branch of government.

Finally, the court kicked off its Rules Renovation project in 2023. Under the leadership of a subcom-

mittee of the Court of Chancery Rules Committee co-chaired by Vice Chancellor J. Travis Laster and Attorney Blake Rohrbacher, the project will look to “renovate” (and not demolish and rebuild) the Court of Chancery Rules. The project’s goals are to modernize the rules and hew them more closely to the federal rules and the court’s current practices. The rules will be reviewed and amended in tranches over two years, the first of which was implemented in September 2023. The project is ambitious and overdue, and our litigants will benefit from the hard work of those spearheading the project. ♦

Court of Chancery 10-Year Civil Caseload Trend



	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
■ Filings	1,199	1,432	1,356	1,004	959	1,167	1,144	1,193	1,193	1,310
■ Dispositions	1,128	1,294	1,262	1,211	973	987	875	1,106	1,089	1,240

Fiscal Year

Continued on next page

COURT OF CHANCERY



Court of Chancery

Standing left to right:

Magistrate in Chancery Loren Mitchell, Magistrate in Chancery Patricia W. Griffin (retired 12/2022),
Vice Chancellor Lori W. Will, Vice Chancellor Nathan A. Cook,
Magistrate in Chancery Selena E. Molina, Magistrate in Chancery Bonnie W. David.

Seated left to right:

Vice Chancellor Morgan T. Zurn, Vice Chancellor J. Travis Laster,
Chancellor Kathaleen St. J. McCormick,
Vice Chancellor Sam Glasscock III, Vice Chancellor Paul A. Fioravanti, Jr.

SUPERIOR COURT



PRESIDENT JUDGE JAN R. JURDEN



SUPERIOR COURT


The Superior Court looks back on 2023 with pride in the accomplishments of the Judicial Branch, and gratitude for the teamwork of all that enabled the Superior Court and our sister courts to effectively address pandemic backlogs, continue our efforts to enhance diversity, equity and inclusion, both internally and externally; and improve access to, and the administration of, justice. The last several years have made it clear that we stand as one unified branch with a shared vision. As discussed below, 2023 is no exception in that regard.

The year 2023 was a very busy one for the Court. In April, the high stakes *Dominion*

v. Fox News defamation case captivated the public and drew intense media attention. The case was one of the largest ever filed in the Superior Court and required all hands on deck. For months leading up to the trial date, the trial judge, the Hon. Eric M. Davis met regularly and often with a team comprised of, among others, chambers staff, prothonotary staff, security officers, the Chief Staff Attorney, court reporters, jury services staff, court administration, Capitol Police, and members of the Administrative Office of the Courts. The extensive planning, cooperation, collaboration and coordination overseen by Judge Davis was masterful, and the parties expressed their appreciation for the Court staff and all who helped make the pretrial phase and the jury selection seamless. The Court is proud of all those who helped ensure that the Court provided a fair, prompt, efficient, forum to assist the parties in resolving their dispute.

As the Court managed this high-profile matter (which required extensive resources and personnel for months), the Court experienced record increases in its civil filings. An increase of 2,425 civil filings in 2023

**NUMBER OF SUPERIOR COURT FILINGS
BY TYPE, FISCAL YEAR 2023**



Continued on next page

SUPERIOR COURT

resulted in a 31% increase over the civil filings in 2022 (7,882 compared to 10,307). Included in those FY 2023 civil filings were 1,527 product liability cases and 683 Mass Tort cases (Zantac, Pelvic Mesh, etc.). Also, due to the national recognition of our judicial officers' experience and expertise in handling Complex Commercial Litigation (CCLD) matters, the number of CCLD cases rose by 20% (126 compared to 159). Mortgage foreclosure filings more than doubled the filings in the previous year (580 compared to 1,215) as did Writ of Possession matters (14 compared to 39). Weapon Relinquishment and Lethal Violence Protective Order civil case filings increased from 39 in 2022 to 48 in 2023. Following the General Assembly's passage of legislation modifying the expungement statute, the Court saw a 37% increase in the number of filings from the previous year.

On the criminal side, although the number of criminal filings reduced slightly from 5,427 to 5,014 (approx. 8%), the number of criminal trials remained the same as the previous year.


While staffing shortages and retention challenges remain, efficiencies from the innovative use of technology, and the excellent support from the Judicial Information Center headed by Ken Kelemen, greatly assisted the Court in its day-to-day operations. The Court's ability to provide access to justice and efficiently manage its caseloads and court events depends on our dedicated staff and technology.

Under the superb leadership of the Chief Justice and the Administrative Office of the Courts, through various initiatives, the Superior Court continues its

efforts to foster and embed diversity, equity and inclusion in all our internal and external operations. With the assistance of the Delaware State Bar Association (DSBA), we had the opportunity to work for eight weeks this past summer with first and second-year law students through its Diversity Clerkship Program. We worked closely with these students and hope their summer experience will make them

want to stay and practice law in Delaware. This is just one way we are consciously trying to develop a "pipeline." Another is encouraging high school students who are interested in learning about the law and law-related job opportunities to participate in our High School Internship Program, conceived and overseen by Commissioner Lynne Parker. Thanks to the hard work and vision of Commissioner Parker,


and with the full support of the Judges and Court staff, 2023 marked the 7th year of this program. Many of these students go on to pursue law-related careers and most aspire to go to law school. The Court also partners with Howard High School through a co-op program. The Court provides Howard with our casual/seasonal job opportunities, and Howard provides interested students' resumes. The students' co-op experiences have led to some becoming full-time Court employees after graduation. The Superior Court also worked with the Leading Youth Through Empowerment (LYTE) program and its partnership with the Department of State's Future Leaders Internship Initiative (FLii). The purpose of LYTE/FLii is to create pathways to careers in state government for local Delaware students. High school students are placed with the courts (or state agencies) for two consecutive summers. We hope that the students' experience will encourage



SUPERIOR COURT

them to apply for Judicial Branch jobs following graduation.

In 2023, the Court happily welcomed two new judicial officers – the Hon. Sean P. Lugg and the Hon. Kathleen M. Miller – in 2023. Prior to joining the Superior Court bench, Judge Lugg served as deputy attorney general with the Delaware Department of Justice for over 25 years, and continues to serve on commissions, working groups and Inns of Court that focus on technology and the law. His extensive trial and appellate experience, coupled with his strong technology background, will enhance the Court’s ability to increase the use of technology in the future. Prior to joining the bench, Judge Miller served as a partner at Smith, Katzenstein & Jenkins LLP, and over the last 30 years has represented clients in all Delaware courts. She served as President of the Delaware State Bar Association from 2021 to 2022, during which time she conceived and launched the DSBA Diversity Clerkship Program referenced




Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz, Jr. (right) administers the Oath of Office to the Hon. Sean P. Lugg at his investiture on July 27, 2023.

above and remains active on the committee overseeing the program.

The Court is extremely proud of our beloved former colleague, the Hon. Abigail M. LeGrow, who was elevated to the Supreme Court in 2023. Her legal acumen, work ethic, professionalism, collegiality and leadership as the civil administrative judge contributed greatly to the Court and those we serve. We are honored to have served with her.


The mission of the Superior Court (now 191 years-old) is to serve the public by providing fair, prompt and well-reasoned decisions in all matters coming before the Court. We see our mission in the 21st century including far more obligations and responsibilities for the Court, and for the Judicial Branch as a whole. Thanks to the strong leadership of our Chief Justice, a shared commitment to equal justice for all, and a shared vision of a rich, diverse, equitable and inclusive justice system, we welcome that expanded mission and will continue to work together to fulfill it. ♦



Continued on next page


SUPERIOR COURT

NUMBER OF SUPERIOR COURT CRIMINAL FILINGS BY TYPE FISCAL YEAR 2023



*Includes appeals, transfers, reinstatements, and severances.

NUMBER OF SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL COMPLAINT DISPOSITIONS BY METHOD FISCAL YEAR 2023



SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL & CRIMINAL FILINGS & DISPOSITIONS BY FISCAL YEAR



SUPERIOR COURT



SUPERIOR COURT JUDGES

Back Row (left to right): Judge Sean P. Lugg; Judge Danielle J. Brennan; Judge Robert H. Robinson; Judge Francis J. Jones Jr.; Judge Meghan A. Adams, Judge Mark H. Conner, Judge Reneta L. Green-Streett, Judge Patricia A. Winston; Judge Kathleen M. Miller

Middle Row (left to right): Judge Sheldon K. Rennie; Resident Judge Jeffrey J Clark; Judge Vivian L. Medinilla; Judge Paul R. Wallace; Judge Ferris W. Wharton; Judge Noel Eason Primos; Resident Judge Craig A. Karsnitz

Front Row (seated left to right): Resident Judge Charles E. Butler; Judge Calvin L. Scott Jr.; President Judge Jan R. Jurden; Judge Mary Miller Johnston; Judge Eric M. Davis

Continued on next page

SUPERIOR COURT




SUPERIOR COURT COMMISSIONERS

Left to right: Commissioner Janine M. Salomone, Commissioner Alicia B. Howard, Commissioner Martin B. O'Connor, Commissioner Andrea M. Freud, Commissioner Lynne M. Parker.

FAMILY COURT



CHIEF JUDGE MICHAEL K. NEWELL




FAMILY COURT

Family Court continues to be guided by its mission: to provide equal access to justice for the families and children under its jurisdiction in a manner that is fair and efficient and that maintains the public's trust and confidence in an independent and accountable judiciary. We strive each day to build an atmosphere that ensures all members of the public are treated with courtesy, dignity, and respect.

In Fiscal Year 2023, the court processed 38,969 filings, an increase of 4.6% from last year. While adult criminal filings declined by 4.7%, juvenile delinquent filings climbed by 18.6%, and the total number of civil filings with the court increased by 4.8%. Child support filings, which have historically represented the largest volume of civil cases for Family Court, decreased by 6.2%, continuing a

substantial trend that saw decreases of 12.6% in FY 22, 9.6% in FY 21, and 20.4% in FY 20.


Although child support filings significantly decreased, all other civil filings, which are grouped together as non-support civil filings, increased by 14.2% to 18,390 petitions filed in FY 23. While visitation, rule to show cause, and spousal support filings



Continued on next page

FAMILY COURT

FAMILY COURT CIVIL & CRIMINAL FILINGS & DISPOSITIONS BY FISCAL YEAR



saw a statewide decrease from the previous year, termination of parental rights filings increased by 21.1% statewide, dependency/neglect filings increased by 3.6%, and minor name change filings increased by 18.6% statewide. Additionally, Protection from Abuse petitions increased 5.6%, and expungement filings increased by 43%.

In criminal and delinquency filings, there was an increase of 3.7%, with the most noticeable change being in juvenile misdemeanor filings that saw an increase of 32.4% from the previous fiscal year. While our adult criminal jurisdiction saw an overall decrease from last fiscal year as noted above, the court saw an increase of 27% in violation of probation filings.

Family Court has continued to engage with the National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges (“NCJFCJ”) and now serves as a Mentor Site for other jurisdictions, providing expertise to other Implementation Sites while receiving technical assistance and training to enhance our Court Improvement Project (“CIP”) work, improve outcomes for children and families, and support our ever-evolving child welfare practices. The court has engaged with the Division of Family Services in their Federal Child and Family Services Review (CFSR), a periodic review of the

state child welfare system to ensure conformity with the federal child welfare requirements. As mentioned in last year’s annual report, the implementation of the new data management system has successfully enabled the court to track data and collaborate with child welfare stakeholders. CIP workgroups have engaged to update the statewide Leading Practice Report and to improve visitation or family time policies and practices statewide. Quality legal representation remains a focus of CIP with continued efforts to develop a multidisciplinary approach for parent representation. The Court continues its efforts to expand the teaming of social workers with parent attorneys and adding peer parent advocates to the multidisciplinary team for improved, holistic, parent representation.

Family Court partnered with the Administrative Office of the Courts for the first Delaware multidisciplinary problem-solving court conference in May of 2023. This two-day conference brought local and national speakers to present on various topics relating to youth wellness, truancy, and substance abuse recovery and treatment. More than 500 people from Delaware’s public and private organizations attended.

Family Court continues to partner with the Department of Education, the Office of Defense Services, and the Department of Justice to ensure that our truan-

FAMILY COURT

cy program improves, and that resources are triaged and delivered in a timely manner. Family Court and the Administrative Office of the Courts continue to collaborate on trauma initiatives for staff and lead Judicial Trauma and Wellness Committee workgroup activities, including distribution of journals and self-care resources. In 2023, Family Court launched a trauma survey to determine future initiatives. Family Court continues to meet as a court-specific trauma workgroup to construct trainings for staff, focusing on Human Resources in 2023 to bolster resilience and connection with staff and supervisors. Within our technology workgroup, Family Court is exploring project management software, continuing to monitor our online public satisfaction survey, and continues to manage and oversee virtual hearings and mediations.

While the Family Court Enhancement Project (FCEP) concluded several years ago, Family Court continues to make improvements provided by FCEP recommendations. This year, the Court received technical assistance from the St. Louis County Domestic Violence Court to implement a PFA Compliance calendar in each county. This specialized calendar was created to increase the engagement and completion of domestic violence intervention treatment by those PFA respondents that were ordered to have a domestic

violence evaluation. It is the court's intention to review compliance data in the coming months with the hope that our outcomes will align with national findings, affirming the value of this specialized monitoring calendar.

Family Court has also focused training efforts on improving our mediation process. Our mediation/arbitration officers have unique interactions with families who have experienced domestic violence. This year's training initiatives have focused on recognizing patterns of coercive control in all case types. Family Court has equipped mediators with essential tools to effectively navigate mediation sessions where there is an unbalanced power dynamic.

The PFA/Custody Task Force, a workgroup born out of FCEP, continues to improve our forms and Orders of Protection from Abuse to make orders easier to read and better identify the protected parties. Also, Family Court has partnered with the Department of Correction Probation and Parole, Justice of the

Peace Courts, and the Court of Common Pleas to serve respondents with emergency ex parte or default PFA orders when the respondent appears in the other courts or probation office. Family Court is grateful for the support and partnership of our domestic violence stakeholders.



Construction at the site of the new Sussex County Family Court building in Georgetown, November/December 2023.

Continued on next page

FAMILY COURT

To address undersized, undignified, and unsafe court-houses in Kent and Sussex County, Family Court continues working towards building two new facilities to adequately serve the litigants, stakeholders, and staff. The Sussex County Family Courthouse is currently under construction with an estimated completion date in mid-2025. The construction and completion of the Kent County Family Court building will be approximately six months behind Sussex County Family Courthouse.


On December 7, 2022, the Honorable Andrew Southmayd received the Delaware State Bar Association’s Outstanding Service to the Courts and Bar award. This award is presented to a “Delaware lawyer or judge who, by exemplary service to the Delaware Courts and the Delaware Bar, has substantially assisted the courts and the Bar and has strengthened public trust and confidence in the courts in the state of Delaware and the administration of justice.”

On September 8, 2023, the Honorable James Maxwell was confirmed for his third term as a Commissioner in New Castle County. Commissioner Kelly H. Sheridan resigned as a Commissioner in New Castle County effective September 29, 2023.

Family Court’s Council on Racial Equity (“C.O.R.E.”) continued its work to “eliminate systemic and institutional racism that serves as a barrier to accessing and achieving justice for litigants of color, and to promote a supportive and inclusive environment for employees that is dedicated to celebrating diversity by removing any social, cultural or other inequities that stifle professional development.” CORE has moved into the implementation phase following the publication and distribution of its report with recommendations.

The Family Court is privileged to serve all citizens of Delaware, but especially its most vulnerable citizens, its children. The court has a large caseload and hears some of the most intimate and sensitive issues that affect families, parents, and children. Our Judges, Commissioners, administration, and staff strive to ensure equal access to justice for our citizens and are dedicated to the court’s mission. We are proud of what we have accomplished during the past fiscal year and look forward to doing even more in the year ahead. ♦

NUMBER OF FAMILY COURT FILINGS BY COUNTY FISCAL YEAR 2023



FAMILY COURT



FAMILY COURT JUDGES

Back Row (left to right): Judge Joelle P. Hitch, Judge Peter B. Jones, Judge Theresa M. Hayes, Chief Judge Michael K. Newell, Judge Mary S. Much, Judge Michael W. Arrington, Judge Janell S. Ostroski, Judge Mardi F. Pyott.

Front Row (left to right): Judge Felice G. Kerr, Judge Louann Vari, Judge Natalie J. Haskins, Judge James G. McGiffin, Jr., Judge Jennifer B. Ranji, Judge Mark D. Buckworth, Judge Paula T. Ryan, Judge Arlene Minus Coppadge.

Not Pictured: Judge Robert B. Coonin.

Continued on next page

FAMILY COURT




FAMILY COURT COMMISSIONERS

Back Row (left to right): Commissioner Gretchen C. Gilchrist, Commissioner David W. Jones, Commissioner Jennifer Mayo, Chief Judge Michael K. Newell, Commissioner Francis E. Mieczkowski, III, Commissioner M. DeSales Haley, Commissioner James J. Maxwell.

Front Row (left to right): Commissioner Danielle S. Blount, Commissioner Loretta Young, Commissioner Andrew K. Southmayd, Commissioner Kelly Hicks Sheridan (resigned in September 2023), Commissioner Craig R. Fitzgerald, Commissioner Sonja T. Wilson, Commissioner Para K. Wolcott, Commissioner Theresa A. Sedivec.

Not Pictured: Commissioner Emily A. Farley, Commissioner Kim DeBonte.

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS



CHIEF JUDGE CARL C. DANBERG

Fiscal Year 2023 marked the 50-year anniversary of the state-wide Court of Common Pleas. Since its inception in 1973, the Court of Common Pleas has been resolute in its goal of providing the citizens of the First State a fair and neutral forum for the efficient resolution of legal matters. FY 23 was no exception; faced with staffing shortages and a notable increase in case filings, the Court of Common Pleas worked closely with the community, its justice partners, and across the judiciary to ensure that access to justice remained strong throughout the state.


In FY 23, the combined efforts of Delaware's state courts proved to be greater than the sum of its parts. The Court of

Common Pleas worked alongside Superior Court, Family Court, and the Justice of the Peace Court to execute the Delaware Courts' Safe Surrender event. Thanks to the hard work of our dedicated staff and remarkable community participation, the Court of Common Pleas resolved nearly 300 outstanding capiases in a single day.

Also in FY 23, the Court of Common Pleas worked closely with Superior Court to create efficiencies in certain specialty court programs. The Court of Common Pleas Drug Court was consolidated with the Superior Court Drug Court into one adult Drug Court, which is administered in the Court of Common Pleas. The Mental Health Court was also advanced by the collaboration between the Court of Common Pleas and Superior Court. With the retirement of Superior Court Judge Andrea Roccanelli, Court of Common Pleas Judge Katharine Mayer was designated to preside over Superior Court Mental Health Court until a Superior Court Judge was able to resume the role. These cross-court efforts streamlined the process for participants and allowed for consistent judicial oversight, a critical function in treatment-focused court programs.

Continued on next page

**COURT OF COMMON PLEAS CIVIL & CRIMINAL
FILINGS BY COUNTY FISCAL YEAR 2023**



COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

The Court of Common Pleas is proud to have served the citizens of the State of Delaware for the past 50 years, and will continue to adapt and evolve to ensure that access to justice remains strong in the First State.


Statistical Overview

The Court of Common Pleas has continued to be one of the busiest courts in the State of Delaware with over 98,021 total case filings in FY 23 – an increase of 8.7% over FY 22.

The Court of Common Pleas saw a decrease in total civil case filings, down 9% from FY 22. The decrease in total civil filings was primarily attributable to a reduction in appeals and civil complaints; however, consumer debt filings increased by 3.4% and name change petitions increased by 38.6% compared to FY 22.

Criminal case filings increased significantly in FY 23. A total of 90,410 misdemeanor criminal cases were filed in the Court of Common Pleas, and 7,857 cases were before the court for a preliminary hearing. These figures represent a 10.5% increase in criminal misdemeanor filings and a 9.7% increase in total criminal caseload compared to FY 22. Additionally, the growth rate of more complex criminal cases, such as drug and alcohol cases, has consistently outpaced

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS PRELIMINARY HEARINGS BY COUNTY FISCAL YEAR 2023



other criminal filings. Over the past 10 years, Statewide DUI cases increased 87.5% – growing from 1,970 to 3,693 – between FY 13 and FY 23. In Sussex County, the portion of the court’s caseload consisting of misdemeanor drug cases has doubled, from 5% of the county’s total caseload to 11%, between FY 13 and FY 23.

The Court of Common Pleas Mediation Program continued to distinguish itself as a valuable resource for criminal disputants, civil litigants, and the community at large, providing a forum for justice through negotiated resolution and acceptance of responsibility, rather than prosecution. In FY 23 there were 853 cases referred for mediation statewide, and the Program boasted an impressive statewide success/satisfaction rate of 91%.


Problem-Solving Courts

The Court of Common Pleas is proud of its problem-solving courts, which provide court-monitored treatment and access to social services designed to minimize the likelihood of reoffending.

♦ Drug Diversion Program

The Court of Common Pleas continues to operate its highly successful court-supervised Drug Diversion Program, headed by Judge Robert Surles in New Castle County, Judge Anne Hartnett in Kent County, and Judge Kenneth Clark in Sussex Coun-

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS NUMBER OF FILINGS BY TYPE FISCAL YEAR 2023



COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

ty. The Drug Diversion Program represents a collaborative effort between the court, its justice partners, and the Public Health Management Corporation (PHMC). Collaboration with PHMC provides observation, research, and data analysis, which has assisted in launching scores of other drug diversion programs throughout the United States and internationally. The Drug Diversion Program has served 9,191 since its inception in 1998, and the program is continuing to grow and adapt with national best practices.

◆ DUI Treatment Court Program

The Court of Common Pleas continues to operate the statewide DUI Treatment Court Program, under the direction of Judge Monica A. Horton in New Castle County, Commissioner Gregory Babowal in Kent County, and Commissioner Donald Bucklin in Sussex County. Since its inception in December 2014, 435 individuals have entered the Program, with 327 having successfully completing the program. In FY 23, there were 69 active participants in the program.


The Program is authorized under 21 Del. C. § 4177 (d) (2) with its goal being to enhance community safety through the promotion of lifestyle changes through specialized treatment providers and community supervision under the direction of the Department of Corrections. The Program encourages its participants by reminding them that life does not get better by chance, it gets better by CHANGE.

◆ Wilmington Community Court

The Wilmington Community Court seeks to reduce crime and recidivism by addressing the quality-of-life issues in the community utilizing a restorative justice approach. Since opening in the Fall of 2019, Community Court has served 554 participants, offering those charged with certain misdemeanors the chance to complete mandated alternatives to the traditional jail time or fines. A Community Resource Center is located on the second floor of the Leonard L. Williams Justice Center in Wilmington. It is open to any member of the public and serves as a mechanism to connect individuals with a variety of social service providers. One goal of the Community Court is to have offenders invest in the communities they have harmed. To better understand the needs of those communities, and to facilitate community service, in FY 23 the Community Court partnered with a downtown community center.

Conclusion

The Court of Common Pleas continues to operate as a busy, high-volume court despite limited resources. Thanks to its dedicated staff, the Court of Common Pleas perseveres in its mission “to provide a neutral forum for the people and institutions of Delaware in the resolution of everyday problems, disputes, and more complex legal matters in a fair, professional, efficient and practical manner.” ◆



Continued on next page

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS JUDGES



Back Row (left to right): Judge Rae M. Mims, Judge Robert H. Surlles, Judge Anne E. Hartnett, Chief Judge Carl C. Danberg, Judge Kenneth S. Clark, Jr., Judge Bradley V. Manning.

Front Row (left to right): , Judge Monica A. Horton. Judge Kathleen K. Amalfitano, Judge Katharine L. Mayer.

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS COMMISSIONERS



Left to right: Commissioner Gregory R. Babowal, Commissioner Donald R. Bucklin, Commissioner Emily Ferrell

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE COURT



JUSTICE OF THE PEACE COURT

CHIEF MAGISTRATE ALAN G. DAVIS



The Justice of the Peace Court serves the citizens of Delaware with efficient and accessible justice. The court strives to provide experiences where all who enter are treated with integrity, fairness, and respect to build trust with those we serve. Due to the hard work of staff, the court returned to full operations at all locations and, despite staffing shortages caused by high turnover rates, has significantly reduced the backlogs from the pandemic and is reducing scheduling times for new cases.

Statistical Overview

In Fiscal Year 2023, the court processed 355,334 filings. Criminal cases accounted for 324,919 of those filings, with the remaining 30,415 coming through civil matters. Although there was a 2.67% overall increase over Fiscal Year 2022 filings, the increase in civil filings (10.83%) was significantly higher than the increase in criminal filings (1.97%). Our civil locations, even with staffing challenges, increased dispositions by 25% over Fiscal Year 2022.

Investing in the Future

The year 2023 was one of investment in facilities, operations, and staff pay to both offer improved access and technology for operational efficiency for members of the public as well as improve personnel recruitment and retention for court staff statewide.

To improve accessibility and technology, Justice of the Peace Court 2 moved from Hudson Way, Rehoboth to 16283 Willow Creek Road, Lewes. Justice of the Peace Court 2 had been located on Hudson Way since 1998 and needed to acquire a larger space for court staff as well as additional



The new Justice of the Peace Court 2 at 16283 Willow Creek Road, Building 5 in Lewes.

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE COURT


parking for the public. This new location, five miles north of the previous site, is easily accessible from Route 1. The new construction allowed for installation of a more modern security system and provided an additional 1,000 square feet of workspace for court staff.

To improve operational efficiency, the individual bail accounts at each criminal court location were consolidated into a new central bail account for the court. This eliminated the need to manually write checks to transfer bail between locations, and it strengthened internal controls. Reconciliations are performed by the accounting department instead of by each location. This change allows the court to take advantage of online reports provided by the case management system to track all bail transactions through a shared online bail log. Additional-

ly, the court is pursuing an option to electronically transfer bail to other courts with a pilot program with Family Court in New Castle County in development for 2024.

Conclusion

As we move past the effects of the pandemic, the Justice of the Peace Court is poised to continue its mission of serving the public of this state efficiently, effectively and fairly. With better facilities, improved processes and dedicated staff we will meet and overcome our future challenges as a highly capable member of Delaware’s Judicial Branch. ♦




*Criminal filings and disposition information is by defendant


Continued on next page

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE COURT

TOTAL JUSTICE OF THE PEACE CIVIL CASES FILED BY COURT FISCAL YEAR 2023



CAPIASES CLEARED BY JUSTICE OF THE PEACE COURT FOR OTHER COURTS FISCAL YEAR 2023




ABOVE: Justice of the Peace Court Deputy Chief of Security Richard Fanta at the University of Delaware Spring 2023 Career Fair.

AT LEFT: Justice of the Peace Court staff at a welcome/information table outside the Kent County Courthouse during the Nov. 2, 2023 Safe Surrender event.




JUSTICE OF THE PEACE COURT

**NUMBER OF JUSTICE OF THE PEACE COURT FILINGS* BY TYPE
FISCAL YEAR 2023**




*Criminal filings are by defendant.

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE COURT CRIMINAL & TRAFFIC CASES BY DEFENDANT BY COURT FISCAL YEAR 2023



JUSTICE OF THE PEACE COURT CRIMINAL & TRAFFIC CHARGES BY COURT FISCAL YEAR 2023



JP Court 10 has been closed (merging with Court 20) prior to this Fiscal Year. As a result it has been removed from any charts.

Continued on next page

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE COURT

NEW CASTLE COUNTY JUDGES



Back Row (left to right): Shameka Booker, Peter Burcat, John Potts, Gerald Ross, III, Earl MacFarlane, James Hanby, Sr., Michelle Michini-Adam, Christopher Portante, Alex Peterson, III, Rodney Vodery, Nicholas Brock, Bobby Hoof, Walter Newton

Front Row (left to right): Amanda Moyer, Susan Ufberg, Marie Page, Katharine B. Ross, Deputy Chief Magistrate Sean McCormick, Chief Magistrate Alan G. Davis, Mary Ellen Naugle, Nina Bawa, Cheryl McCabe-Stroman

Not Pictured: Bracy Dixon, Jr., Shanaya Eyong, Beatrice Freel, Thomas M. Kenney, Kerry Kowal, Shelley Losito, Maria Perez-Chambers, and David R. Skelley

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE COURT

KENT COUNTY JUDGES



Back Row (left to right): Michael Green, Alexander Montano, James A. Murray, D. Kenneth Cox

Front Row (left to right): Deputy Chief Magistrate Kevin Wilson, Chief Magistrate Alan G. Davis, Judy Smith, Nicole Alston-Jackson

Not Pictured: . Dwight D. Dillard, W. G. Edmanson, II, Jamie Hicks, Michael P. Sherlock, and Dana Tracy

Continued on next page

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE COURT

SUSSEX COUNTY JUDGES



Back Row (left to right): Scott Willey, Christopher A. Bradley, Richard D. Comly, John R. Hudson, Candace Whitelock, Kristine Kellenbenz, William Patrick Wood, Brett Graves, Matthew Tingle

Front Row (left to right): Terri Davis, Maria Castro, Deputy Chief Magistrate Deborah Keenan, Chief Magistrate Alan G. Davis, Catherine Hester, Leah Chandler, Stephani Adams

Not Pictured: John Adams, Mirta Collazo, Bethany Crowley, Jennifer Sammons.

JUDICIAL BRANCH EMPLOYEES RECOGNIZED



*Judicial Branch Employee
of the Year 2022
Robin Jenkins*

Robin Jenkins, Manager of Support Services at the Administrative Office of the Courts, was named the Judicial Branch Employee of the Year for 2022, and further was named one of five recipients of the Governor’s award for Excellence and Commitment in State Service for 2022. Robin recently celebrated more than 35 years of service with the state and has a depth of knowledge about all of the Delaware courts that is unparalleled and makes her the go-to resource. In addition, Robin has always been willing to step in and lend a hand even when it is outside her usual duties. She is described by supervisors and colleagues alike as “an amazing team player.”

Robin has also shown remarkable initiative and leadership by building a summer internship program from scratch. For more than 15 years, Robin has worked with the trial courts and partners at the Department of Health and Social Services to run a summer youth program that put kids who were aging out of the foster care system, and others, to work in the courts for several weeks in the summer to provide them with valuable real-life work experience. She also supplemented the program to teach the interns important life skills like creating a budget, resume writing and office etiquette, while also connecting them with professional mentors. Many have gone on to return to the courts as full-time employees and one even went on to law school and returned to clerk for a Supreme Court Justice.

More recently, Robin restructured the Support Services positions under her supervision – including the Filing and Payments Center, court collections, mail room and information desk – to provide her employees with better cross-training and depth of knowledge that both improved efficiency in court operations and allowed all to be reclassified at higher pay grades.

According to her nominator, Robin “is a great role model for her employees — knowledgeable, fair, and generous with her time. She offers unfailing support and ensures that her employees have the resources they need to grow and be successful.”

We also want to recognize the following employees nominated for the Judicial Branch’s Employee of the Year Award:

Brian Clairmont, Judicial Operations Manager, Superior Court. Brian, who has been with Superior Court since 2010, is regarded as an in-house expert in a variety of areas including civil cases, expungements and even technology and jury operations. A common answer to questions in the office is “go ask Brian, he’ll know.” Colleagues say Brian can also be counted on to pitch in whenever necessary and is regarded as a “jack of all trades” in the Sussex County Superior Court. “Brian is a model employee. He is dedicated. He knows procedures in and out. He has a heavy workload and yet gets everything done every day,” wrote one of his nominators.

Renee Julie Kinsey, Judicial Operations Manager, Court of Chancery. Renee was described as dedicated, knowledgeable, kind, and compassionate by her nominator, and a person who is key to smooth court operations. “Renee leads her guardianship team statewide, often picking up the slack from unfilled positions or underperforming employees. She is passionate about the work, has a wealth of practical experience, and is always looking to improve our system and protect those in need,” wrote her nominator.

Patricia (Patty) Licausi, Judicial Case Manager, Family Court. Patricia was cited for her dedication and teamwork by her court. She routinely volunteers to help with incoming filings and leverages her many years of knowledge and experience in the courts to ease transitions during staffing changes and challenges. “She is a true team player and her dedication to the Court is truly appreciated,” wrote her nominator.

Kristen Osborne, Judicial Operations Manager, Justice of the Peace Court. Kristen, who has been with the court since 2007, was nominated because of her “exceptional accomplishment, achievement, initiative, and leadership.” Kristen is regarded as not only knowledgeable about her job, but also always willing to assist other staff, assist other Justice of the Peace court locations and is an excellent trainer. “Kristen is the go-to person ... She is always first to volunteer to help when help is needed. Kristen is an exceptionally valued member of the Justice of the Peace Court,” wrote one of her nominators. ♦



**DELAWARE
COURTS**

JUDICIAL BRANCH


Many thanks to the Presiding Judges, Court Administrators, court staff and others in the courts, and the Administrative Office of the Courts for their efforts in preparing this Annual Report.

<http://courts.delaware.gov> (Delaware Judiciary)

***<http://courts.delaware.gov/AOC/AnnualReports/FY23>
2023 Annual Report, Statistical Report of the Delaware Judiciary and
additional Delaware Courts background information***

This Annual Report is published by the State of Delaware Administrative Office of the Courts.
405 N. King Street, Suite 507
Wilmington, DE 19801


Design, layout and all photographs (except judicial officers) by AOC Chief of Community Relations Sean O'Sullivan, unless otherwise noted.



2023 Annual Report Statistical Information for the Delaware Judiciary

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	Page 2
Supreme Court	Page 3
Court of Chancery	Page 10
Superior Court	Page 15
Family Court	Page 25
Court of Common Pleas	Page 33
Justice of the Peace Court	Page 39
Alderman's Court	Page 49



SUPREME COURT
State of Delaware

2023 Annual Report Statistical Information

SUPREME COURT

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Filings				
	2022	2023	Change	% Change
Criminal Appeals	213	234	21	9.9%
Civil Appeals	202	239	37	18.3%
Certifications	0	1	1	—
Original Applications	7	12	5	71.4%
Bd. on Prof. Resp.	5	3	-2	-40.0%
Bd. of Bar Exam	3	1	-2	-66.7%
Advisory Opinions	1	0	-1	-100%
Other	1	1	0	0.0%
Total	432	491	59	13.7%


Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Dispositions				
	2022	2023	Change	% Change
Criminal Appeals	175	223	48	27.4%
Civil Appeals	240	211	-29	-12.1%
Certifications	2	0	-2	-100%
Original Applications	6	11	5	83.3%
Bd. on Prof. Resp.	3	4	1	33%
Bd. of Bar Exam	1	2	1	100%
Advisory Opinions	0	0	0	0%
Other	1	2	1	100%
Total	428	453	25	5.8%

Bd. on Prof. Resp. = Board on Professional Responsibility.

Bd. of Bar Exam. = Board of Bar Examiners.

Source: Court Administrator and Clerk of the Supreme Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

Supreme Court 10-Year Total Caseload Trend



	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Filings	716	703	707	533	646	572	505	438	432	491
Dispositions	696	687	714	604	583	643	480	485	428	453

Fiscal Year

SUPREME COURT

Caseload Breakdowns - Fiscal Year 2023 - Filings

	Court of Chancery		Superior Court		Family Court		Non-Court Originated		Total	
Criminal Appeals	0	0%	234	100%	0	0%	0	0%	234	100%
Civil Appeals	70	29.3%	108	45.2%	61	25.5%	0	0%	239	100%
Other*	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%	18	100%
Total	70	14.3%	342	69.7%	61	12.4%	18	3.7%	491	100%

Caseload Breakdowns - Fiscal Year 2023 - Dispositions

	Court of Chancery		Superior Court		Family Court		Non-Court Originated		Total	
Criminal Appeals	0	0%	223	100%	0	0%	0	0%	223	100%
Civil Appeals	77	36.5%	79	37.4%	55	26.1%	0	0%	211	100%
Other*	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	19	100%	19	100%
Total	77	17.0%	302	66.7%	55	12.1%	19	4.2%	453	100%

*Includes Original Applications; Certifications; Advisory Opinions; Appeals from the Board on Professional Responsibility and the Board of Bar Examiners; and Other Filing & Disposition Types.
Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

Source: Court Administrator and Clerk of the Supreme Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

SUPREME COURT

Types of Dispositions - Fiscal Year 2023										
	Affirmed		Affirmed Part/Reversed Part		Reversed		Remanded		Voluntary Dismissal	
Criminal Appeals	164	73.5%	1	0.4%	0	0.0%	8	3.6%	8	3.6%
Civil Appeals & Other	108	47.0%	6	2.6%	8	3.5%	2	0.9%	40	17.4%
Total	272	60.0%	7	1.5%	8	1.8%	10	2.2%	48	10.6%
	Court Dismissal		Leave to Appeal Denied		Other*				Total	
Criminal Appeals	42	18.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			223	100%
Civil Appeals & Other	48	20.9%	13	5.7%	5	2.2%			230	100%
Total	90	19.9%	13	2.9%	5	1.1%			453	100%

Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

Methods of Dispositions - Fiscal Year 2023

	Assigned Opinion		Per Curiam Opinion		Written Order	
Criminal Appeals	18	8.1%	0	0%	197	88.3%
Civil Appeals	24	11.4%	1	0%	147	69.7%
Certifications	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Original Applications	0	0%	0	0%	10	90.9%
Bd. on Prof. Resp.	0	0%	1	25%	3	75.0%
Bd. of Bar Exam.	0	0.0%	2	100%	0	0.0%
Advisory Opinions	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Other	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
Total	42	9.3%	4	0.9%	359	79.2%

	Voluntary Dismissal		Other		Total	
Criminal Appeals	8	3.6%	0	0%	223	100%
Civil Appeals	39	18.5%	0	0%	211	100%
Certifications	0	0%	0	0%	0	-
Original Applications	1	9.1%	0	0%	11	100%
Bd. on Prof. Resp.	0	0.0%	0	0%	4	100%
Bd. of Bar Exam.	0	0.0%	0	0%	2	100%
Advisory Opinions	0	0%	0	0%	0	-
Other	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
Total	48	10.6%	0	0.0%	453	100%

Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

Bd. on Prof. Resp. = Board on Professional Responsibility.

Bd. of Bar Exam. = Board of Bar Examiners.

Source: Court Administrator and Clerk of the Supreme Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

SUPREME COURT

Performance Summary - Fiscal Year 2023 - Average Elapsed Time to Disposition					
	Number of Dispositions	Average Time From Filing to Disposition		Average Time From Submission to Disposition*	
Criminal Appeals	223	165.0	days	37.5	days
Civil Appeals	211	165.6	days	32.4	days
Certifications	0	-	days	-	days
Original Applications	11	52.9	days	18.7	days
BPR	4	122.8	days	37.5	days
BBE	2	252.0	days	49.0	days
Advisory Opinions	0	-	days	-	days
Other	2	28.0	days	17.5	days
Total	453	164.3	days	33.5	days

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Average Time from Filing to Disposition							
	2022		2023		Change		% Change
Criminal Appeals	171.1	days	165.0	days	-6.1	days	-3.6%
Civil Appeals	181.7	days	165.6	days	-16.0	days	-8.8%
Certifications	307.5	days	-	days	-	days	-
Original Applications	64.5	days	52.9	days	-11.6	days	-18.0%
BPR	4.0	days	122.8	days	118.8	days	2968.8%
BBE	48.0	days	252.0	days	204.0	days	425.0%
Advisory Opinions	-	days	-	days	-	days	-
Other	42.0	days	28.0	days	-14.0	days	-33.3%
Total	176.3	days	164.3	days	-12.0	days	-6.8%

*Average time from date submitted for judicial decision to actual date of disposition. The time for a case that is submitted and disposed in the same day is zero. Not all Supreme Court cases require a judicial decision.

BPR = Board on Professional Responsibility.

BBE = Board of Bar Examiners.

Source: Court Administrator and Clerk of the Supreme Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.


SUPREME COURT

Performance Breakdowns - Fiscal Year 2023 - Elapsed Time by Disposition Type					
	Number of Dispositions	Average Time From Filing to Disposition		Average Time From Submission to Disposition*	
Affirmed	272	209.7	days	43.5	days
Affirmed Part/Reversed Part	7	295.6	days	77.1	days
Reversed	8	302.4	days	75.8	days
Remanded	10	127.7	days	18.6	days
Voluntary Dismissal	48	80.6	days	0.0	days
Court Dismissal	90	73.7	days	17.2	days
Leave to Appeal Denied	13	24.1	days	19.5	days
Other	5	166.8	days	43.6	days
Total	453	164.3	days	33.5	days

Performance Breakdowns - Fiscal Year 2023 - Elapsed Time by Disposition Method					
	Number of Dispositions	Average Time From Filing to Disposition		Average Time From Submission to Disposition*	
Assigned Opinion	42	318.5	days	69.6	days
Per Curiam Opinion	4	245.8	days	53.0	days
Written Order	359	156.6	days	33.5	days
Voluntary Dismissal	48	80.6	days	0.0	days
Other	0	-	days	-	days
Total	453	164.3	days	33.5	days

*Average time from date submitted for judicial decision to actual date of disposition. The time for a case that is submitted and disposed in the same day is zero. Not all Supreme Court cases require a judicial decision.

Source: Court Administrator and Clerk of the Supreme Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.



COURT OF CHANCERY
State of Delaware

2023 Annual Report Statistical Information


COURT OF CHANCERY

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Civil Filings				
	2022	2023	Change	% Change
State	1,193	1,310 *	117	9.8%

*Previous FYs reactivated cases were included in this count. Beginning FY23 they were excluded. FY23 saw 52 reactivated cases

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Civil Dispositions				
	2022	2023	Change	% Change
State	1,089	1,240	151	13.9%

Source: Registers in Chancery; Administrative Office of the Courts.




COURT OF CHANCERY

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Estates Filings				
	2022	2023	Change	% Change
State	3,717	3,170	-547	-14.7%

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Estates Dispositions				
	2022	2023	Change	% Change
State	2,940	3,134	194	6.6%

Source: Register of Wills; Administrative Office of the Courts.

Court of Chancery 10-Year Estates Caseload Trend



COURT OF CHANCERY

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Miscellaneous Matters Filings

	2022	2023	Change	% Change
State	326	377	51	15.6%

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Miscellaneous Matters Dispositions

	2022	2023	Change	% Change
State	383	311	-72	-18.8%

Source: Register in Chancery; Administrative Office of the Courts.

COURT OF CHANCERY

Caseload Breakdown - Fiscal Year 2023 - Miscellaneous Matters Filings


	Guardians for Minors		Guardians for Infirm		Trusts		Other Matters		Total	
State	40	10.6%	290	76.9%	46	12.2%	1	0.3%	377	100%

Caseload Breakdown - Fiscal Year 2023 - Miscellaneous Matters Dispositions

	Guardians for Minors		Guardians for Infirm		Trusts		Other Matters		Total	
State	105	33.8%	191	61.4%	12	3.9%	3	1.0%	311	100%

Source: Register in Chancery; Administrative Office of the Courts.

Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.



COURT OF CHANCERY


Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Total Case Filings*				
	2022	2023	Change	% Change
State	5,236	4,857	-379	-7.2%

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Total Case Dispositions*				
	2022	2023	Change	% Change
State	4,412	4,685	273	6.2%

*Total includes Civil, Miscellaneous, and Estates.


Source: Registers in Chancery; Registers of Wills; Administrative Office of the Courts.

Court of Chancery 10-Year Total Caseload Trend (Civil, Miscellaneous & Estates)



■ Filings	4,537	4,542	4,255	4,149	4,131	4,211	4,169	4,772	5,236	4,857
■ Dispositions	5,183	4,905	5,070	5,584	4,069	4,240	3,539	3,965	4,412	4,685

Fiscal Year



SUPERIOR COURT
State of Delaware

2023 Annual Report Statistical Information

SUPERIOR COURT

Caseload Breakdowns - Fiscal Year 2023 - Civil Case Filings

	Complaints		Mechanic's Liens and Mortgages		Appeals	
New Castle County	4,300	54.0%	834	10.5%	82	1.0%
Kent County	373	31.6%	341	28.9%	30	2.5%
Sussex County	346	29.8%	312	26.9%	24	2.1%
State	5,019	48.7%	1,487	14.4%	136	1.3%

	Involuntary Commitments		Miscellaneous		Total	
New Castle County	490	6.1%	2,262	28.4%	7,968	100%
Kent County	76	6.4%	359	30.4%	1,179	100%
Sussex County	68	5.9%	410	35.3%	1,160	100%
State	634	6.2%	3,031	29.4%	10,307	100%

Caseload Breakdowns - Fiscal Year 2023 - Civil Case Dispositions

	Complaints		Mechanic's Liens and Mortgages		Appeals	
New Castle County	3,471	49.1%	830	11.7%	82	1.2%
Kent County	345	30.6%	386	34.2%	12	1.1%
Sussex County	338	29.3%	319	27.6%	20	1.7%
State	4,154	44.4%	1,535	16.4%	114	1.2%

	Involuntary Commitments		Miscellaneous		Total	
New Castle County	505	7.1%	2,178	30.8%	7,066	100%
Kent County	69	6.1%	316	28.0%	1,128	100%
Sussex County	64	5.5%	413	35.8%	1,154	100%
State	638	6.8%	2,907	31.1%	9,348	100%

Source: Prothonotary's Offices, Superior Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

SUPERIOR COURT

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Civil Case Filings


	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County	5,918	7,968	2,050	34.6%
Kent County	986	1,179	193	19.6%
Sussex County	978	1,160	182	18.6%
State	7,882	10,307	2,425	30.8%

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Civil Case Dispositions

	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County	6,811	7,066	255	3.7%
Kent County	1,063	1,128	65	6.1%
Sussex County	1,037	1,154	117	11.3%
State	8,911	9,348	437	4.9%

Source: Prothonotary's Offices, Superior Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

Superior Court Civil 10-Year Caseload Trend



Fiscal Year

* Report incorporates additional data made available since publication of the FY 2015 Annual Report.

SUPERIOR COURT

Caseload Breakdowns- Fiscal Year 2023 - Criminal Filings									
	Indictment		Rule 9 Warrant		Information		Other*		Total
New Castle County	1,852	64.4%	130	4.5%	878	30.5%	14	0.5%	2,874
Kent County	652	82.7%	8	1.0%	123	15.6%	5	0.6%	788
Sussex County	324	24.0%	209	15.5%	807	59.7%	12	0.9%	1,352
State	2,828	56.4%	347	6.9%	1,808	36.1%	31	0.6%	5,014

Caseload Breakdowns- Fiscal Year 2023 - Criminal Dispositions										
	Trial		Guilty Plea**		Nolle Prosequi		Remand/Transfer		Order/Reserved Decision	
New Castle County	105	3.2%	2,343	70.3%	875	26.3%	-	0%	0	0%
Kent County	15	1.6%	704	76.5%	174	18.9%	2	0.2%	0	0%
Sussex County	9	0.6%	1,347	83.3%	240	14.8%	11	0.7%	0	N/A
State	129	2.2%	4,394	74.9%	1,289	22.0%	13	0.2%	-	0%

	Dismissal		Drug Court		Consolidation		Total	
New Castle County	8	0.2%	-	0%	-	0%	3,331	100%
Kent County	3	0.3%	22	2.4%	-	0%	920	100%
Sussex County	6	0.4%	3	0.2%	1	0.1%	1,617	100%
State	17	0.3%	25	0.4%	1	0.0%	5,868	100%

*Includes appeals, reinstatements, guilty pleas withdrawn, granted motions for new trial and severances.

**Includes Probation Before Judgment & Guilty but Mentally Ill, First Offender Program & civil possession of marijuana (RSP).

FOP = First Offender Program.

Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

Source: Court Administrator and Case Scheduling Office, Superior Court;

Administrative Office of the Courts.

SUPERIOR COURT


Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Criminal Case Filings				
	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County	2,876	2,874	-2	-0.1%
Kent County	857	788	-69	-8.1%
Sussex County	1,694	1,352	-342	-20.2%
State	5,427	5,014	-413	-7.6%

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Criminal Case Dispositions				
	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County	2,601	3,363	762	29.3%
Kent County	1,203	926	-277	-23.0%
Sussex County	1,772	1,620	-152	-8.6%
State	5,576	5,909	333	6.0%

Source: Court Administrator and Case Scheduling Office, Superior Court;

Administrative Office of the Courts.

Superior Court Criminal 10-Year Caseload Trend



	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Filings	7,532	7,042	6,402	5,921	5,056	4,719	4,258	4,385	5,427	5,014
Dispositions	7,497	7,016	6,252	5,513	5,379	4,776	3,811	2,633	5,576	5,909
VOP Filings	5,378	5,465	5,358	4,639	4,307	3,865	3,179	3,402	3,673	4,743

Fiscal Year

VOP = Violation of Probation

SUPERIOR COURT

Types of Dispositions Fiscal Year 2023 - Criminal Felony Guilty Pleas

	Pled Guilty Original		Pled Guilty Lesser		Total	
New Castle County	1,322	88.4%	173	11.6%	1,495	100%
Kent County	402	81.0%	94	19.0%	496	100%
Sussex County	695	91.3%	66	8.7%	761	100%
State	2,419	87.9%	333	12.1%	2,752	100%

Types of Dispositions Fiscal Year 2023- Criminal Misdemeanor Guilty Pleas

	Pled Guilty Original		Pled Guilty Lesser*		Total	
New Castle County	539	63.6%	309	36.4%	848	100%
Kent County	60	28.8%	148	71.2%	208	100%
Sussex County	244	41.6%	342	58.4%	586	100%
State	843	51.3%	799	48.7%	1,642	100%

Types of Dispositions Fiscal Year 2023 - Criminal Total Guilty Pleas

	Pled Guilty Original		Pled Guilty Lesser*		Total	
New Castle County	1,861	79.4%	482	20.6%	2,343	100%
Kent County	462	65.6%	242	34.4%	704	100%
Sussex County	939	69.7%	408	30.3%	1,347	100%
State	3,262	74.2%	1,132	25.8%	4,394	100%

Percentages may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

*Includes Probation Before Judgment & Guilty but Mentally Ill, First Offender Program & civil possession of marijuana (RSP).

Source: Court Administrator and Case Scheduling Office, Superior Court;

Administrative Office of the Courts.

SUPERIOR COURT

Types of Dispositions - Fiscal Year 2023 - Criminal Trials - Part One

	Jury Trial		Non-Jury Trial		Total	
New Castle County	97	92.4%	8	7.6%	105	100%
Kent County	15	100.0%	0	0%	15	100%
Sussex County	9	100.0%	0	0%	9	100%
State	121	93.8%	8	6.2%	129	100%

	Guilty		Not Guilty*		No Final Disposition**		Total	
New Castle County	76	72.4%	18	17.1%	11	10.5%	105	100%
Kent County	11	73.3%	1	6.7%	3	20.0%	15	100%
Sussex County	6	66.7%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	9	100%
State	93	72.1%	21	16.3%	15	11.6%	129	100%

*Includes Acquittals, Dismissals at Trial, and Nolle Prosequis at Trial.

**Includes Hung Juries, Mistrials, and Reserved Decisions.

Source: Court Administrator and Case Scheduling Office, Superior Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

SUPERIOR COURT

Types of Dispositions - Fiscal Year 2023 - Criminal Trials - Part Two

Jury Trial								
	Guilty	Guilty LIO	Not Guilty	Pled Guilty at Trial	Nol Pros/Dismissed at Trial	Mistrial	Hung Jury	Total
New Castle County	60	10	16	0	0	3	8	97
Kent County	8	2	1	1	0	2	1	15
Sussex County	6	0	2	0	0	0	1	9
State	74	12	19	1	0	5	10	121

Non-Jury Trial								
	Guilty	Guilty LIO	Not Guilty	Pled Guilty at Trial	Nol Pros/Dismissed at Trial	Mistrial	Reserved Decision	Total*
New Castle County	6	0	2	0	0	0	0	8
Kent County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sussex County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
State	6	0	2	0	0	0	0	8

All Trials									
	Guilty	Guilty LIO	Not Guilty	Pled Guilty at Trial	Nol Pros/Dismissed at Trial	Mistrial	Hung Jury	Reserved Decision	Total
New Castle County	66	10	18	0	0	3	8	0	105
Kent County	8	2	1	1	0	2	1	0	15
Sussex County	6	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	9
State	80	12	21	1	0	5	10	0	129

Types of Dispositions Fiscal Year 2023 - Criminal Nolle Prosequis									
	Nolle Prosequis By Special Condition		Nolle Prosequis By Merit		NPL		Total		
New Castle County	481	55.0%	344	39.3%	50	5.7%	875	100%	
Kent County	114	65.5%	60	34.5%	0	0%	174	100%	
Sussex County	15	6.3%	225	93.8%	0	0%	240	100%	
State	610	47.3%	629	48.8%	50	3.9%	1,289	100%	

NPL = Nolle Prosequi entered at time of Sentencing

Source: Court Administrator and Case Scheduling Office, Superior Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

SUPERIOR COURT				
Performance Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Criminal Cases - Average Time from Arrest to Disposition				
	2022 (in days)	2023 (in days)	Change (in days)	% Change
New Castle County	433.2	398.2	-35.0	-8.1%
Kent County	364.7	270.9	-93.8	-25.7%
Sussex County	366.7	311.0	-55.7	-15.2%
State	397.1	354.2	-42.9	-10.8%

Performance Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Criminal Cases - Average Time from Indictment to Disposition				
	2022 (in days)	2023 (in days)	Change (in days)	% Change
New Castle County	295.8	257.3	-38.5	-13.0%
Kent County	235.7	153.2	-82.5	-35.0%
Sussex County	257.8	231.8	-26.0	-10.1%
State	270.6	233.9	-36.7	-13.6%

Source: Court Administrator and Case Scheduling Office, Superior Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

SUPERIOR COURT

Performance Summary Fiscal Year 2023 - Criminal Cases - Elapsed Time

	Total Number of Cases Disposed	Average Time from Arrest to Disposition	Average Time from Indictment to Disposition
New Castle County	3,331	398.2 days	257.3 days
Kent County	920	270.9 days	153.2 days
Sussex County	1,617	311.0 days	231.8 days
State	5,868	354.2 days	233.9 days

Performance Summary Fiscal Year 2023 - Criminal Cases - Compliance with Speedy Trial Standards


	Total Number of Cases Disposed	Disposed of within 120 Days of Indictment (90%)		Disposed of within 180 Days of Indictment (98%)		Disposed of within 365 Days of Indictment (100%)	
New Castle County	3,331	1,233	37.0%	1,461	43.9%	2,652	79.6%
Kent County	920	481	52.3%	653	71.0%	837	91.0%
Sussex County	1,617	571	35.3%	820	50.7%	1,352	83.6%
State	5,868	2,285	38.9%	2,934	50.0%	4,841	82.5%

Criminal Cases Performance Explanatory Notes - Fiscal Year 2023

1. The performance summary charts measure the average time from the date of arrest to the date of disposition as well as the average time from the date of indictment/information to the date of disposition.
2. In measuring the elapsed time for defendants for the purpose of determining the rate of compliance with the speedy trial standards, the following are excluded by the Court:
 - a) For all capiases, the time between the date that the capias is issued and the date that it is executed.
 - b) For all Rule 9 summonses and Rule 9 warrants, the time between the arrest and the indictment/information, if any.
 - c) For all mental examinations, the time between the date that the examination is ordered and the date of the receipt of the results.
 - d) For all defendants deemed to be incompetent, the period in which the defendant is considered incompetent.

Source: Court Administrator and Case Scheduling Office, Superior Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

Superior Court Total 10-Year Caseload Trend



	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Filings	19,504	18,540	18,292	20,315	18,132	16,211	14,375	12,793	13,309	15,321
Dispositions	18,663	18,354	18,109	18,447	19,405	17,298	14,834	11,364	14,487	15,257
VOP Filings	5,378	5,465	5,358	4,639	4,307	3,865	3,179	3,402	3,673	4,743

Fiscal Year

SUPERIOR COURT


Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Total Case Filings

	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County	8,794	10,842	2,048	23.3%
Kent County	1,843	1,967	124	6.7%
Sussex County	2,672	2,512	-160	-6.0%
State	13,309	15,321	2,012	15.1%

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Total Case Dispositions

	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County	9,412	10,429	1,017	10.8%
Kent County	2,266	2,054	-212	-9.4%
Sussex County	2,809	2,774	-35	-1.2%
State	14,487	15,257	770	5.3%

Source: Court Administrator, Prothonotary's Offices, and Case Scheduling Office,
Superior Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.



FAMILY COURT
State of Delaware

2023 Annual Report Statistical Information

FAMILY COURT

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Adult Criminal Case Filings

	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County	2,811	2,635	-176	-6.3%
Kent County	1,077	1,024	-53	-4.9%
Sussex County	843	852	9	1.1%
State	4,731	4,511	-220	-4.7%

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Adult Criminal Dispositions

	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County	2,394	3,438	1,044	43.6%
Kent County	835	944	109	13.1%
Sussex County	826	859	33	4.0%
State	4,055	5,241	1,186	29.2%

Source: Court Administrator, Family Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

FAMILY COURT

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Civil Case Filings

	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County	15,735	17,014	1,279	8.1%
Kent County	7,076	6,762	-314	-4.4%
Sussex County	7,052	7,521	469	6.7%
State	29,863	31,297	1,434	4.8%

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Civil Case Dispositions

	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County	15,572	16,439	867	5.6%
Kent County	7,166	6,834	-332	-4.6%
Sussex County	7,603	7,761	158	2.1%
State	30,341	31,034	693	2.3%

Source: Court Administrator, Family Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

FAMILY COURT

Caseload Breakdown - Fiscal Year 2023 - Civil Case Filings

	Child Support/New Non-support		Child Support/Modifications		Child Support/Arrearages		Child Support/Verified Notice of Income Attachment	
New Castle County	2,680	15.8%	622	3.7%	696	4.1%	4	0.0%
Kent County	829	12.3%	332	4.9%	140	2.1%	0	0.0%
Sussex County	1,158	15.4%	340	4.5%	513	6.8%	1	0.0%
State	4,667	14.9%	1,294	4.1%	1,349	4.3%	5	0.0%
	Child Support/Determination of Parentage		Child Support/Revocation		Child Support/Registration of Foreign Order		Child Support/Notice of Adm. Adjustment	
New Castle County	155	0.9%	188	1.1%	91	0.5%	2,403	14.1%
Kent County	69	1.0%	91	1.3%	39	0.6%	847	12.5%
Sussex County	68	0.9%	66	0.9%	51	0.7%	1,508	20.1%
State	292	0.9%	345	1.1%	181	0.6%	4,758	15.2%
	Child Support/Other Support		Custody		Dependency/Neglect		Visitation	
New Castle County	7	0.0%	1,628	9.6%	193	1.1%	189	1.1%
Kent County	6	0.1%	748	11.1%	73	1.1%	90	1.3%
Sussex County	3	0.0%	573	7.6%	47	0.6%	74	1.0%
State	16	0.1%	2,949	9.4%	313	1.0%	353	1.1%
	Termination of Parental Rights		Cases with Ancillaries		Guardianship		Spousal Support	
New Castle County	145	0.9%	655	3.8%	786	4.6%	51	0.3%
Kent County	39	0.6%	286	4.2%	448	6.6%	14	0.2%
Sussex County	28	0.4%	299	4.0%	399	5.3%	10	0.1%
State	212	0.7%	1,240	4.0%	1,633	5.2%	75	0.2%
	Expungements (Juv. & Adult)		Imperiling Family Relationships		Protection from Abuse		Divorce/Annulment	
New Castle County	1,463	8.6%	13	0.1%	2,572	15.1%	1,630	9.6%
Kent County	393	5.8%	5	0.1%	1,242	18.4%	723	10.7%
Sussex County	529	7.0%	0	0.0%	833	11.1%	733	9.7%
State	2,385	7.6%	18	0.1%	4,647	14.8%	3,086	9.9%
	Rules to Show Cause/Other Civil Contempt		Minor Name Change		Miscellaneous Civil		Adoption	
New Castle County	417	2.5%	170	1.0%	147	0.9%	109	0.6%
Kent County	165	2.4%	62	0.9%	79	1.2%	42	0.6%
Sussex County	121	1.6%	68	0.9%	65	0.9%	34	0.5%
State	703	2.2%	300	1.0%	291	0.9%	185	0.6%
	Total							
New Castle County	17,014	100%						
Kent County	6,762	100%						
Sussex County	7,521	100%						
State	31,297	100%						

Source: Court Administrator, Family Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

FAMILY COURT

Caseload Breakdown - Fiscal Year 2023 - Civil Case Dispositions

	Child Support/New Non-support		Child Support/Modifications		Child Support/Arrearages		Child Support/Verified Notice of Income Attachment	
New Castle County	2,117	12.9%	524	3.2%	698	4.2%	2	0.0%
Kent County	1,092	16.0%	340	5.0%	170	2.5%	0	0.0%
Sussex County	1,260	16.2%	350	4.5%	687	8.9%	1	0.0%
State	4,469	14.4%	1,214	3.9%	1,555	5.0%	3	0.0%
	Child Support/Determination of Parentage		Child Support/Revocation		Child Support/Registration of Foreign Order		Child Support/Notice of Admin. Adjustment	
New Castle County	110	0.7%	172	1.0%	67	0.4%	2,392	14.6%
Kent County	54	0.8%	97	1.4%	39	0.6%	899	13.2%
Sussex County	74	1.0%	75	1.0%	55	0.7%	1,507	19.4%
State	238	0.8%	344	1.1%	161	0.5%	4,798	15.5%
	Child Support/Other Support		Custody		Dependency/Neglect		Visitation	
New Castle County	5	0.0%	1,601	9.7%	192	1.2%	203	1.2%
Kent County	7	0.1%	666	9.7%	65	1.0%	77	1.1%
Sussex County	5	0.1%	628	8.1%	32	0.4%	71	0.9%
State	17	0.1%	2,895	9.3%	289	0.9%	351	1.1%
	Termination of Parental Rights		Cases with Ancillaries		Guardianship		Spousal Support	
New Castle County	114	0.7%	394	2.4%	776	4.7%	61	0.4%
Kent County	34	0.5%	164	2.4%	437	6.4%	14	0.2%
Sussex County	32	0.4%	172	2.2%	401	5.2%	12	0.2%
State	180	0.6%	730	2.4%	1,614	5.2%	87	0.3%
	Expungements (Juv. & Adult)		Imperiling Family Relationships		Protection from Abuse		Divorce/Annulment	
New Castle County	1,484	9.0%	10	0.1%	2,561	15.6%	2,081	12.7%
Kent County	399	5.8%	5	0.1%	1,258	18.4%	719	10.5%
Sussex County	540	7.0%	1	0.0%	824	10.6%	746	9.6%
State	2,423	7.8%	16	0.1%	4,643	15.0%	3,546	11.4%
	Rules to Show Cause/Other Civil Contempt		Minor Name Change		Miscellaneous Civil		Adoption	
New Castle County	440	2.7%	186	1.1%	169	1.0%	80	0.5%
Kent County	135	2.0%	68	1.0%	55	0.8%	40	0.6%
Sussex County	124	1.6%	56	0.7%	83	1.1%	25	0.3%
State	699	2.3%	310	1.0%	307	1.0%	145	0.5%
	Total							
New Castle County	16,439	100%						
Kent County	6,834	100%						
Sussex County	7,761	100%						
State	31,034	100%						

Source: Court Administrator, Family Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

FAMILY COURT

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Juvenile Delinquency Case Filings

	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County	1,525	1,607	82	5.4%
Kent County	528	835	307	58.1%
Sussex County	612	719	107	17.5%
State	2,665	3,161	496	18.6%

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Juvenile Delinquency Case Dispositions

	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County	1,655	1,559	-96	-5.8%
Kent County	553	628	75	13.6%
Sussex County	691	817	126	18.2%
State	2,899	3,004	105	3.6%

Source: Court Administrator, Family Court; Administrative Office of the Courts

FAMILY COURT

Caseload Breakdowns - Fiscal Year 2023 - Juvenile Delinquency Case Filings

	Felony		Misdemeanor		Traffic	
New Castle County	498	31.0%	793	49.3%	129	8.0%
Kent County	234	28.0%	492	58.9%	89	10.7%
Sussex County	133	18.5%	396	55.1%	171	23.8%
State	865	27.4%	1,681	53.2%	389	12.3%
	VOP				Total	
New Castle County	187	11.6%			1,607	100%
Kent County	20	2.4%			835	100%
Sussex County	19	2.6%			719	100%
State	226	7.1%			3,161	100%

Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

Caseload Breakdowns - Fiscal Year 2023 - Juvenile Delinquency Case Dispositions

	Felony		Misdemeanor		Traffic	
New Castle County	512	32.8%	752	48.2%	147	9.4%
Kent County	166	26.4%	380	60.5%	64	10.2%
Sussex County	161	19.7%	465	56.9%	176	21.5%
State	839	27.9%	1,597	53.2%	387	12.9%
	VOP				Total	
New Castle County	148	9.5%			1,559	100%
Kent County	18	2.9%			628	100%
Sussex County	15	1.8%			817	100%
State	181	6.0%			3,004	100%

VOP = Violations of Probation.

Source: Court Administrator, Family Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

Percentage may not total 100 due to rounding.

FAMILY COURT

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023- Mediation Hearings Scheduled

	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County	3,272	2,327	-945	-28.9%
Kent County	1,940	1,132	-808	-41.6%
Sussex County	2,293	1,828	-465	-20.3%
State	7,505	5,287	-2,218	-29.6%

Note: Mediation is the process prior to adjudication in which a trained mediator attempts to assist the parties in reaching an agreement. If the parties are unable to reach an agreement, the matter is scheduled to be heard before a Commissioner or Judge.

Source: Court Administrator, Family Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

FAMILY COURT

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Total Case Filings

	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County	20,071	21,256	1,185	5.9%
Kent County	8,681	8,621	-60	-0.7%
Sussex County	8,507	9,092	585	6.9%
State	37,259	38,969	1,710	4.6%

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023- Total Case Dispositions

	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County	19,621	21,436	1,815	9.3%
Kent County	8,554	8,406	-148	-1.7%
Sussex County	9,120	9,437	317	3.5%
State	37,295	39,279	1,984	5.3%

Notes:

*A civil filing is defined as one petition or one single civil incident filed with the Family Court. In a divorce matter, although the petition may contain multiple ancillary matters to the divorce, it is counted as only one filing.

*A civil filing categorized as a "Case with Ancillary" is defined as a divorce with at least one ancillary, although the petition may contain multiple ancillary matters to the divorce, it is counted as only one filing.

*A criminal or delinquency filing is defined as one incident filed against one individual or defendant. A single criminal or juvenile delinquency filing may be comprised of a single or of multiple charges relating to a single incident.

*Mediation is the process prior to adjudication in which a trained mediator attempts to assist the parties in reaching an agreement. If the parties are unable to reach an agreement or the mediation does not occur as scheduled, the matter is scheduled to be heard before a Commissioner or Judge or rescheduled back to a mediation calendar.

*Custody, support, visitation, paternity, guardianship, imperiling family relations, and rule to show cause filings are scheduled for mediation unless bypass mediation rules apply as indicated in 13 Del. C. § 711A and 13 Del. C. § 728A; Family Court Procedures OCI-914 and OCI-902.


*Automatic Expungements/Pardons resulting in Automatic Juvenile Expungements are counted as filings in this report due to the amount of staff effort to process them. Although there is not a disposition from a Hearing Officer for Automatic Expungements, the directive letter from SBI is acted upon by our staff. Therefore, the resolution of these types of filings are added to the same month they are received in the Disposition sections of this report.

*Due to expungements, Criminal Filings / Dispositions stats were taken from reports ran each month throughout the year. While this method will not catch all filing and dispositions that may have been expunged, this is the method that will allow us to capture the most. The volume of expungements will affect all data regarding juvenile criminal charges. Any decreases from prior Fiscal Years should not be assumed to be actual decreases as we don't have a definitive way to measure the impact of all the charges immediately expunged.

*FY 2020 through FY 2023 Stats were most likely impacted by the worldwide COVID-19 pandemic and changes from past or future years should consider this impact.

Source: Court Administrator, Family Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.


Family Court 10-Year Total Caseload Trend



	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
■ Filings	44,243	46,681	49,093	48,834	47,469	47,383	40,331	37,567	37,259	38,969
■ Dispositions	45,516	45,884	48,067	50,869	51,400	48,462	41,371	35,901	37,295	39,279

Fiscal Year

Source: Court Administrator, Family Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.



COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
State of Delaware

2023 Annual Report Statistical Information

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS


Caseload Summary - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Civil Case Filings				
	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County	4,990	4,611	-379	-7.6%
Kent County	1,629	1,484	-145	-8.9%
Sussex County	1,753	1,516	-237	-13.5%
State	8,372	7,611	-761	-9.1%

Caseload Summary - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Civil Case Dispositions				
	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County	3,261	2,126	-1,135	-34.8%
Kent County	1,014	638	-376	-37.1%
Sussex County	1,097	832	-265	-24.2%
State	5,372	3,596	-1,776	-33.1%

Caseload Breakdowns - Fiscal Year 2023- Civil Case Filings						
	Complaints		Civil Judgments, Name Changes & Appeals		Total	
New Castle County	3,009	65.3%	1,602	34.7%	4,611	100%
Kent County	942	63.5%	542	36.5%	1,484	100%
Sussex County	955	63.0%	561	37.0%	1,516	100%
State	4,906	64.5%	2,705	35.5%	7,611	100%

Source: Court Administrator, Court of Common Pleas; Administrative Office of the Courts.

Court of Common Pleas 10-Year Civil Caseload Trend



■ Filings	8,793	10,295	9,722	10,575	13,788	12,233	9,357	8,736	8,372	7,611
■ Dispositions	4,327	4,415	5,266	5,034	6,508	6,896	4,928	3,859	5,372	3,596

Fiscal Year

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS


Caseload Summary - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Criminal Misdemeanor Case Filings				
	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County	34,152	35,367	1,215	3.6%
Kent County	20,913	24,952	4,039	19.3%
Sussex County	26,741	30,091	3,350	12.5%
State	81,806	90,410	8,604	10.5%

Caseload Summary - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Criminal Misdemeanor Case Dispositions				
	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County	19,180	25,909	6,729	35.1%
Kent County	14,405	13,907	-498	-3.5%
Sussex County	20,141	22,395	2,254	11.2%
State	53,726	62,211	8,485	15.8%

Caseload Summary - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Criminal Preliminary Hearing Case Filings				
	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County	4,043	4,008	-35	-0.9%
Kent County	1,813	1,832	19	1.0%
Sussex County	1,889	2,017	128	6.8%
State	7,745	7,857	112	1.4%

Source: Court Administrator, Court of Common Pleas; Administrative Office of the Courts.

Court of Common Pleas 10-Year Criminal Caseload Trend



	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Misdemeanor Filings	110,071	103,176	103,825	93,630	92,008	79,162	79,162	66,737	81,806	90,410
Misdemeanor Dispositions	88,507	87,366	77,673	71,401	69,504	56,322	56,322	46,296	53,726	62,211
Preliminary Hearings	9,011	8,621	8,689	8,402	7,798	7,520	7,520	7,521	7,745	7,857

Fiscal Year

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Caseload Summary - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Total Criminal Misdemeanor and Civil Case Filings


	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County	39,142	39,978	836	2.1%
Kent County	22,542	26,436	3,894	17.3%
Sussex County	28,494	31,607	3,113	10.9%
State	90,178	98,021	7,843	8.7%

Caseload Summary - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Total Criminal Misdemeanor and Civil Case Dispositions


	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County	22,441	28,035	5,594	24.9%
Kent County	15,419	14,545	-874	-5.7%
Sussex County	21,238	23,227	1,989	9.4%
State	59,098	65,807	6,709	11.4%

Source: Court Administrator, Court of Common Pleas; Administrative Office of the Courts.

Court of Common Pleas 10-Year Total Caseload Trend (Civil & Criminal)



Fiscal Year



JUSTICE OF THE PEACE COURT
State of Delaware

2023 Annual Report Statistical Information

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE COURT


Caseload Summary - Fiscal Year 2023 - Civil Cases		
	Filings	Dispositions
New Castle County		
Court 9	500	2,185
Court 13	15,029	12,394
Kent County		
Court 16	9,566	8,697
Sussex County		
Court 17	5,320	4,909
State	30,415	28,185

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Civil Case Filings				
	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County				
Court 9	2,547	500	-2,047	-80.4%
Court 13	11,966	15,029	3,063	25.6%
Kent County				
Court 16	7,675	9,566	1,891	24.6%
Sussex County				
Court 17	5,255	5,320	65	1.2%
State	27,443	30,415	2,972	10.8%

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Civil Case Dispositions				
	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County				
Court 9	3,156	2,185	-971	-30.8%
Court 13	8,836	12,394	3,558	40.3%
Kent County				
Court 16	5,680	8,697	3,017	53.1%
Sussex County				
Court 17	4,861	4,909	48	1.0%
State	22,533	28,185	5,652	25.1%

Source: Chief Magistrate's Office, Justice of the Peace Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

JP Court 10-Year Civil Caseload Trend




Caseload Breakdowns - Fiscal Year 2023 - Civil Case Filings

	Complaints		Landlord/Tenant		Total	
New Castle County						
Court 9	191	38.2%	309	61.8%	500	100%
Court 13	7,020	46.7%	8,009	53.3%	15,029	100%
Kent County						
Court 16	6,852	71.6%	2,714	28.4%	9,566	100%
Sussex County						
Court 17	3,636	68.3%	1,684	31.7%	5,320	100%
State	17,699	58.2%	12,716	41.8%	30,415	100%

Source: Chief Magistrate's Office, Justice of the Peace Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

JP Court 10-Year Criminal and Traffic Caseload Trend*



JUSTICE OF THE PEACE COURT

Caseload Breakdowns - Fiscal Year 2023 - Criminal and Traffic Filings (Defendants)

	Title 7 - Fish/Game		Title 11 - Criminal		Title 21 - Traffic		Miscellaneous		Total	
New Castle County										
Court 9	8	0.4%	167	7.5%	1,989	89.2%	66	3.0%	2,230	100%
Court 11	51	0.2%	7,072	30.8%	14,055	61.2%	1,770	7.7%	22,948	100%
Court 20	15	0.1%	1,821	14.8%	8,793	71.3%	1,699	13.8%	12,328	100%
Kent County										
Court 6	7	0.2%	208	5.2%	3,620	89.8%	196	4.9%	4,031	100%
Court 7	41	0.3%	2,826	19.5%	10,448	72.1%	1,171	8.1%	14,486	100%
Court 8	0	0%	36	1.3%	2,651	94.7%	112	4.0%	2,799	100%
Sussex County										
Court 2	74	0.5%	8,805	55.4%	5,959	37.5%	1,062	6.7%	15,900	100%
Court 3	74	0.6%	2,874	23.3%	8,570	69.6%	792	6.4%	12,310	100%
Court 4	5	0.1%	182	3.5%	4,629	89.9%	333	6.5%	5,149	100%
Court 14	6	0.2%	109	4.5%	2,242	92.3%	73	3.0%	2,430	100%
TRS	0	0%	0	0%	1	0.8%	129	99.2%	130	100%
State w/o VAC	281	0.3%	24,100	25.4%	62,957	66.5%	7,403	7.8%	94,741	100%
VAC	1,523	1.7%	20	0.0%	85,742	94.8%	3,188	3.5%	90,473	100%
State with VAC	1,804	1.0%	24,120	13.0%	148,699	80.3%	10,591	5.7%	185,214	100%

VAC = Voluntary Assessment Center. TRS = Truancy Court Sussex.

Source: Chief Magistrate's Office, Justice of the Peace Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE COURT

Caseload Breakdowns - Fiscal Year 2023 - Criminal and Traffic Filings (Charges)

	Title 7 - Fish/Game		Title 11 - Criminal		Title 21 - Traffic		Miscellaneous		Total	
New Castle County										
Court 9	8	0.2%	216	5.3%	3,719	91.8%	109	2.7%	4,052	100%
Court 11	78	0.1%	15,939	28.9%	34,461	62.6%	4,591	8.3%	55,069	100%
Court 20	27	0.1%	2,944	11.2%	20,673	78.4%	2,712	10.3%	26,356	100%
Kent County										
Court 6	15	0.2%	299	3.8%	7,107	90.9%	394	5.0%	7,815	100%
Court 7	57	0.2%	7,279	21.1%	24,213	70.3%	2,876	8.4%	34,425	100%
Court 8	1	0.0%	65	1.3%	4,743	94.6%	203	4.1%	5,012	100%
Sussex County										
Court 2	136	0.3%	20,323	51.3%	15,352	38.7%	3,811	9.6%	39,622	100%
Court 3	135	0.4%	7,825	23.7%	22,462	68.0%	2,621	7.9%	33,043	100%
Court 4	6	0.1%	272	2.5%	10,080	90.9%	728	6.6%	11,086	100%
Court 14	16	0.2%	157	2.4%	6,231	94.3%	201	3.0%	6,605	100%
TRS	0	0%	0	0%	1	0.7%	141	99.3%	142	100%
State w/o VAC	479	0.2%	55,319	24.8%	149,042	66.8%	18,387	8.2%	223,227	100%
VAC	1,663	1.6%	37	0.0%	94,943	93.4%	5,049	5.0%	101,692	100%
State with VAC	2,142	0.7%	55,356	17.0%	243,985	75.1%	23,436	7.2%	324,919	100%

VAC = Voluntary Assessment Center. TRS = Truancy Court Sussex.

Source: Chief Magistrate's Office, Justice of the Peace Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE COURT

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Criminal and Traffic Filings (Defendants)				
	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County				
Court 9	2,148	2,230	82	3.8%
Court 10	1,929	0	-1,929	-100.0%
Court 11	23,468	22,948	-520	-2.2%
Court 20	14,850	12,328	-2,522	-17.0%
Kent County				
Court 6	5,415	4,031	-1,384	-25.6%
Court 7	13,291	14,486	1,195	9.0%
Court 8	2,761	2,799	38	1.4%
Sussex County				
Court 2	15,157	15,900	743	4.9%
Court 3	10,397	12,310	1,913	18.4%
Court 4	4,717	5,149	432	9.2%
Court 14	2,214	2,430	216	9.8%
TRS	259	130	-129	-49.8%
State Without VAC	96,606	94,741	-1,865	-1.9%
VAC	86,400	90,473	4,073	4.7%
State with VAC	183,006	185,214	2,208	1.2%

VAC = Voluntary Assessment Center. TRS = Truancy Court Sussex.

Source: Chief Magistrate's Office, Justice of the Peace Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE COURT

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Criminal and Traffic Filings (Charges)				
	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County				
Court 9	3,946	4,052	106	2.7%
Court 10	3,722	0	-3,722	-100.0%
Court 11	55,308	55,069	-239	-0.4%
Court 20	31,109	26,356	-4,753	-15.3%
Kent County				
Court 6	9,492	7,815	-1,677	-17.7%
Court 7	30,374	34,425	4,051	13.3%
Court 8	4,970	5,012	42	0.8%
Sussex County				
Court 2	38,141	39,622	1,481	3.9%
Court 3	28,546	33,043	4,497	15.8%
Court 4	9,589	11,086	1,497	15.6%
Court 14	5,992	6,605	613	10.2%
TRS	271	142	-129	-47.6%
State Without VAC	221,460	223,227	1,767	0.8%
VAC	97,177	101,692	4,515	4.6%
State with VAC	318,637	324,919	6,282	2.0%

VAC = Voluntary Assessment Center. TRS = Truancy Court Sussex.

Source: Chief Magistrate's Office, Justice of the Peace Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE COURT

Court Rankings - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Total Cases Filed* (Charges)

2022 Rank w/o VAC	2023 Rank w/o VAC		2023 Total Filings	2023 % of Total w/o VAC
1	1	Court 11	55,069	21.7%
2	2	Court 2	39,622	15.6%
4	3	Court 7	34,425	13.6%
5	4	Court 3	33,043	13.0%
3	5	Court 20	26,356	10.4%
6	6	Court 13	15,029	5.9%
7	7	Court 4	11,086	4.4%
9	8	Court 16	9,566	3.8%
8	9	Court 6	7,815	3.1%
11	10	Court 14	6,605	2.6%
12	11	Court 17	5,320	2.1%
13	12	Court 8	5,012	2.0%
10	13	Court 9	4,552	1.8%
14	14	TRS	142	0.1%
2023 State w/o VAC			253,642	100%
2023 VAC			101,692	
2023 State w/ VAC			355,334	

*Includes civil, criminal, and traffic filings.

VAC = Voluntary Assessment Center. TRS = Truancy Court Sussex.

Source: Chief Magistrate's Office, Justice of the Peace Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE COURT

Court Rankings - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Total Cases Filed* (Defendants)

2022 Rank w/o VAC	2023 Rank w/o VAC		2023 Total Filings	2023 % of Total w/o VAC
1	1	Court 11	22,948	18.3%
2	2	Court 2	15,900	12.7%
5	3	Court 13	15,029	12.0%
4	4	Court 7	14,486	11.6%
3	5	Court 20	12,328	9.9%
6	6	Court 3	12,310	9.8%
7	7	Court 16	9,566	7.6%
9	8	Court 17	5,320	4.3%
10	9	Court 4	5,149	4.1%
8	10	Court 6	4,031	3.2%
12	11	Court 8	2,799	2.2%
11	12	Court 9	2,730	2.2%
13	13	Court 14	2,430	1.9%
14	14	TRS	130	0.1%
2023 State w/o VAC			125,156	100%
2023 VAC			90,473	
2023 State w/ VAC			215,629	

*Includes civil, criminal, and traffic filings.

VAC = Voluntary Assessment Center. TRS = Truancy Court Sussex.

Source: Chief Magistrate's Office, Justice of the Peace Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE COURT

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Total Cases Filed (Charges)

	2022	2023	Change	% Change
Criminal & Traffic	318,637	324,919	6,282	2.0%
Civil	27,443	30,415	2,972	10.8%
Total	346,080	355,334	9,254	2.7%

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Total Cases Disposed (Charges)

	2022	2023	Change	% Change
Criminal & Traffic	309,440	328,427	18,987	6.1%
Civil	22,533	28,185	5,652	25.1%
Total	331,973	356,612	24,639	7.4%

Source: Chief Magistrate's Office, Justice of the Peace Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE COURT


Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Total Case Filings (Defendants)

	2022	2023	Change	% Change
Criminal & Traffic	183,006	185,214	2,208	1.2%
Civil	27,443	30,415	2,972	10.8%
Total	210,449	215,629	5,180	2.5%

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Total Cases Dispositions


	2022	2023	Change	% Change
Criminal & Traffic	183,885	186,325	2,440	1.3%
Civil	22,533	28,185	5,652	25.1%
Total	206,418	214,510	8,092	3.9%

**JP Court 10-Year Total Caseload Trend*
(Civil, Criminal & Traffic)**



*Criminal filings and disposition information is by defendant.

Source: Chief Magistrate's Office, Justice of the Peace Court; Administrative Office of the Courts.



ALDERMAN'S COURTS
State of Delaware

2023 Annual Report Statistical Information

ALDERMAN'S COURTS*

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Total Filings				
	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County				
Newark	14,236	10,791	-3,445	-24.2%
Newport	3,550	3,172	-378	-10.6%
Sussex County				
Bethany Beach	39	19	-20	-51.3%
Dewey Beach	624	747	123	19.7%
Laurel	3,064	2,724	-340	-11.1%
Rehoboth Beach	2,069	1,358	-711	-34.4%
State	23,582	18,811	-4,771	-20.2%

Caseload Comparison - Fiscal Years 2022-2023 - Total Dispositions				
	2022	2023	Change	% Change
New Castle County				
Newark	9,615	1,934	-7,681	-79.9%
Newport	2,359	1,972	-387	-16.4%
Sussex County				
Bethany Beach	47	35	-12	-25.5%
Dewey Beach	1,343	1,192	-151	-11.2%
Laurel	3,071	2,623	-448	-14.6%
Rehoboth Beach	2,184	1,464	-720	-33.0%
State	18,619	9,220	-9,399	-50.5%

Notes: 1) The unit of count for criminal and traffic cases is the charge. For example, a defendant with three charges disposed of is counted as three dispositions. 2) Newport did not have criminal filings or dispositions. Laurel did not have Civil filings or dispositions. Bethany Beach did not have Traffic nor Civil filings or dispositions.

*Alderman's Courts are not part of the Delaware court system. They are independent entities within their respective Municipalities. However, cases may be transferred or appealed to a state court.

Source: Alderman's Courts; Administrative Office of the Courts.