## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

| MARK SPRUANCE,     | §                            |
|--------------------|------------------------------|
|                    | § No. 670, 2013              |
| Defendant Below,   | §                            |
| Appellant,         | §                            |
|                    | § Court Below—Superior Court |
| v.                 | § of the State of Delaware   |
|                    | § in and for Sussex County   |
| STATE OF DELAWARE, | § Cr. ID No. 92S00269DI      |
|                    | §                            |
| Plaintiff Below,   | §                            |
| Appellee.          | §                            |

Submitted: December 21, 2013 Decided: January 2, 2014

## ORDER

This 2<sup>nd</sup> day of January 2014, it appears to the Court that, on December 9, 2013, the Clerk issued a notice to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 29(b) based upon the Court's lack of jurisdiction to entertain a criminal interlocutory appeal.\* The appellant has failed to respond to the notice to show cause within the required ten-day period. Therefore, dismissal of this action is deemed to be unopposed.

<sup>\*</sup> Del. Const. art. IV, §11(1) (b).

## NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 29(b), that this appeal is DISMISSED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Jack B. Jacobs Justice