

**IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE
IN AND FOR NEW CASTLE COUNTY**

STATE OF DELAWARE,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	
)	
JAY M. RINGGOLD,)	Cr. ID. No. 1006015765
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

Submitted: June 12, 2015
Decided: July 7, 2015

Upon Commissioner's Report and Recommendation That
Defendant's Second Motion for Postconviction Relief
Should be Summarily Dismissed

ADOPTED

ORDER

This 7th day of July, 2015, the Court has considered the Commissioner's Report and Recommendation, Defendant's Motion for Postconviction Relief, and the relevant proceedings below.

On April 10, 2015, Defendant Jay M. Ringgold filed this *pro se* motion for postconviction relief. The motion was referred to a Superior Court Commissioner in accordance with 10 *Del. C.* § 512(b) and Superior Court Criminal Rule 62 for proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law. The Commissioner issued the

Report and Recommendation on June 2, 2015. The Commissioner recommended that Defendant's Motion for Postconviction Relief be denied.

"Within ten days after filing of a Commissioner's proposed findings of fact and recommendations . . . any party may serve and file written objections."¹ Neither party has filed an objection to the Commissioner's Report and Recommendation.

The Court holds that the Commissioner's Report and Recommendation dated June 2, 2015, should be adopted for the reasons set forth therein. The Commissioner's findings are not clearly erroneous, are not contrary to law, and are not an abuse of discretion.²

THEREFORE, after careful and *de novo* review of the record in this action, the Court hereby adopts the Commissioner's Report and Recommendation in its entirety. Defendant's Motion for Postconviction Relief is hereby **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Mary M. Johnston

The Honorable Mary M. Johnston

¹ Super. Ct. Crim. R. 62(a)(5)(ii).

² Super. Ct. Crim. R. 62(a)(4)(iv).