## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

GATZ PROPERTIES LLC and	§
WILLIAM A. GATZ,	§
	§ No. 129, 2014
Plaintiffs Below-	§
Appellants,	§
	§
v.	§
	§ Court Below—Superior Court
THOMAS P. PRESTON, ESQ.,	§ of the State of Delaware,
RONALD J. FISCHER, ESQ.,	§ in and for New Castle County
MILLCREST LAW LLP, STEVEN	§ C.A. No. N13C-02-089
CAPONI, ESQ. and BLANK ROME	§
LLP,	§
	§
Defendants Below-	§
Appellees.	<b>§</b>

Submitted: March 11, 2014 Decided: March 18, 2014

Before **HOLLAND**, **BERGER**, and **JACOBS**, Justices.

## ORDER

This 18<sup>th</sup> day of March 2014, it appears to the Court that:

- (1) The plaintiffs-appellants, Gatz Properties LLC and William A. Gatz, have petitioned this Court, pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 42, to accept an appeal from an interlocutory order of the Superior Court, dated February 11, 2014, which denied the appellants' motion to compel production of documents.
- (2) The appellants filed their application for certification to take an interlocutory appeal in the Superior Court on February 20, 2014. The Superior

Court denied the certification application on March 10, 2014 and issued a revised

order denying the certification application on March 11, 2014.

(3) Applications for interlocutory review are addressed to the sound

discretion of this Court. In the exercise of its discretion, this Court has concluded

that the application for interlocutory review does not meet the requirements of

Supreme Court Rule 42(b) and should be refused.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the within

interlocutory appeal be REFUSED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Carolyn Berger

Justice

-2-