IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

PAUL WINTERS,	§	
	§	No. 3, 2016
Defendant Below,	§	
Appellant,	§	Court Below—Superior Court
	§	of the State of Delaware
v.	§	
	§	Cr. ID No. 1304014889
STATE OF DELAWARE,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff Below,	§	
Appellee.	§	

Submitted: January 20, 2016 Decided: January 26, 2016

ORDER

This 26th day of January 2016, it appears to the Court that:

- (1) On January 5, 2016, the appellant, Paul Winters, filed an appeal from his conviction and sentencing on December 4, 2015 on a violation of probation. The appeal is one day late. Under Supreme Court Rule 6, the notice of appeal was due to be filed on or before January 4, 2016.¹
- (2) On January 5, 2016, the Clerk issued a notice directing Winters to show cause why the appeal should not be dismissed as untimely filed.² Winters'

¹ See Del. Supr. Ct. R. 6(a)(iii) (providing that a notice of appeal must be filed within thirty days of sentencing).

² See Del. Supr. Ct. 29((b) (governing involuntary dismissal upon notice of the Court).

response to the notice to show cause was due to be filed on or before January 20, 2016.³

(3) Winters did not respond to the notice to show cause. Under these circumstances, Winters is deemed to have consented to the dismissal of the appeal.⁴

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, under Supreme Court Rules 3(b)(2) and 29(b), that the appeal is DISMISSED.

Chief Justice

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Leo E. Strine, Jr.

³ See id. (providing that the appellant must respond within ten days of receipt of the notice to show cause). In this case, the certified mail receipt reflects that the notice was received on January 7, 2016.

⁴ See Del. Supr. Ct. R. 29(b) ("If a response is not filed within the time allowed, the dismissal shall be deemed to be consented to pursuant to Rule 3(b)(2)."); Del. Supr. R. 3(b)(2) (providing in pertinent part that "[a] party is deemed to have consented to the termination of the case when the party fails to respond timely to . . . this Court's notice to show cause").