

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

JOHN DOE NO. 1 and JOHN DOE	§	
NO. 2,	§	No. 458, 2016
	§	
Plaintiffs Below,	§	Court Below—Chancery Court of the
Appellants,	§	State of Delaware
	§	C.A. No. 10983
v.	§	
	§	
ROBERT M. COUPE, solely in his	§	
official capacity a Commissioner of	§	
the Delaware Department of	§	
Correction,	§	
	§	
Defendant Below,	§	
Appellee.	§	

Submitted: March 1, 2016

Decided: March 3, 2017

ORDER

Before **STRINE**, Chief Justice; **HOLLAND**, **VALIHURA**, **VAUGHN**, and **SEITZ**, Justices (constituting the Court *en banc*).

This 3rd day of March 2017, having considered this matter on the briefs filed by the parties and after oral argument, the Court has concluded that the final judgment of the Court of Chancery should be affirmed for the reasons stated in its August 12, 2016 opinion.¹

¹ In ruling on the *Ex Post Facto* argument, the Court of Chancery relied upon this Court's decision in *Hassett v. State*, 12 A.3d 1154, 2011 WL 446561 (Del. Feb. 8, 2011) (TABLE). Based upon principles of *stare decisis*, we must adhere to our holding in *Hassett*.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the final judgment of the Court
of Chancery is AFFIRMED.

BY THE COURT:


Justice